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Chapter 1

Introduction
The Faculty Handbook is a living part of our academic community that contains the central policies of the University. My deepest thanks go to the Academic Senate and its committees for their continuing crucial role in determining these policies. The amendments in this edition of the Faculty Handbook were carefully developed over the last two years by the Senate Handbook Committee, reflecting recommendations by school faculty councils, the advocacy of the Senate Committee on Non-tenure-track Faculty Affairs (as it was known then), and the review of the Senate Executive Board, and were voted upon by the Senate after discussion at two Senate meetings this Spring.

**Development toward inclusivity**

The amendments in this edition that are closest to my heart deal with the essential matter of understanding who and what are USC's faculty. Over the decades, USC's Senate has led the way in defining the faculty appropriate for our university's evolving academic model. The tenure system is primary at USC and will remain primary. The size of our tenure-track and tenured faculty has not diminished and we intend to grow it. Our preference is that every appointment we make is tenure-track or tenured, except where there is a sound academic reason otherwise. Indeed, the Senate recognized years ago that sometimes such academic reasons do exist, because tenure's requirement of an up-or-out decision after six years was too restrictive to be applied to all the faculty required by USC's research, clinical, and educational purposes. The Senate expanded its faculty model so that each of our schools could create positions according to its needs, including faculty with a more intensive research load, teaching load, clinical or practical training load. This flexibility allowed our schools to enhance research, provide students a better educational experience, and offer patient care and professional training that take advantage of our location in a great metropolis.

The Handbook's developments on these subjects lead me to two observations. First, the current Handbook amendments dealing with faculty outside the tenure track are organic developments rooted in our history. And, second, USC's growth toward inclusivity of faculty of every track is an achievement that is rare among great research universities. We are far from perfect, but we have made remarkable progress.

Let me now recount the evolution of the Senate's thinking on this subject as shown from the pages of past Handbooks and Senate records. Our first Faculty Handbook in 1950 acknowledged that we had part-time faculty to meet certain academic needs: teaching staff would be recruited each semester as needed from local professional and business people to supplement regular faculty for a unit called University College that offered late afternoon and evening courses, as well as for the Civic Center Division of what was then the School of Public Administration. Faculty Handbooks beginning with 1961 also recognized that some researchers would have "employment under special contracts" lasting for the duration of the research contracts or foundation grants. The 1971 Handbook announced that some "distinguished" part-timers
could have ranks with adjunct or clinical professorial titles, while other part-timers were designated lecturer. In the 1972 Handbook “adjunct” faculty were defined in a way that did not yet fully embrace them: “adjunct to, but not an integral part of, a department or school.”

The 1979 Handbook recognized the general category called “special faculty” parallel to the Handbook’s longstanding reference to “regular faculty,” and restated the restriction that lecturers had part-time appointments only. In 1984 the Handbook modified our policy to recognize full-time as well as part-time lecturers, but the full-timers could serve only up to one year. In 1985-86, the Senate’s Special Committee on Promotion and Tenure Policy considered the faculty status of our librarian colleagues. A 1993 Senate article noted the number of non-standard special faculty titles then in use, such as language instructor and field professor.

1997 was the first year that the Senate Nominating Committee put up a non-tenure-track professor as one of the two candidates in the election for Senate president. In 1998 the Handbook changed the nomenclature for the category in an attempt to be more respectful, from “special faculty” to “non-tenure-track faculty.” Lecturers were authorized to serve full-time up to three years in any discipline, not just one year and not just part-time, and a “senior lecturer (special skills)” could be appointed beyond three years, but only in designated skill-based fields. As a special case to accommodate the practice in mathematics, the undifferentiated title of assistant professor was authorized for a non-tenure-track appointment up to three years.

A major step was the 1998 Handbook’s recognition of the diversity of academic needs among our schools. Each school’s faculty and dean were asked to establish school-specific written guidelines on non-tenure-track faculty, which would be reviewed by the Provost with the advice of the Academic Senate Executive Board. Each school would determine its own appropriate limit on the percentage of teaching-track faculty.

The academic rationale for non-tenure-track faculty in our university was stated in a 2002 Senate white paper that the provost endorsed as University policy: Where it is feasible, it is better to appoint a tenure-track faculty member. However, some proportion of non-tenure-track teachers may be academically desirable in a given unit, whether to bring an admixture of practical orientation to students in a professional school, or to do skills teaching in the College. Part-time non-tenure-track appointment is generally to be avoided. Part-time appointment is appropriate for an individual who holds some other primary full-time position and is asked to teach a course because of special expertise. Schools were asked to avoid use of “freeway flyers.”

The Academic Senate took another major step in 2010 by abolishing time limits for full-time non-tenure-track faculty appointments. In addition, professorial titles and promotional tracks were authorized for all these colleagues. I am glad to say that our evolving USC model is that a majority of
full-time teaching-track appointees now hold multi-year contracts. In Dornsife College, for example, every teaching-track faculty member promoted to associate professor receives a three-year appointment, and everyone promoted to full professor has a five-year appointment.

Non-tenure-track faculty have long been represented in faculty governance, including service on the Senate and as chairs and members of University-level committees and school faculty councils. The role of non-tenure-track colleagues in governance was formalized in 2012 by an amendment to the Senate constitution.

The 2014 Handbook recognized basic principles growing out of the 2001 Senate white paper. Tenure is indispensable to the success of our institution in fulfilling our obligations to students and to society and USC is committed to the tenure system. “Adjunct” titles are limited to those who already have a primary position or an equivalent artistic career. So-called “freeway flyers” teaching at multiple academic institutions are not appropriate at USC. Non-tenure-track faculty actively participate in faculty governance at both the school and University level, except in matters concerning tenure. As continues to be declared unchanged in 4-B (4)(a), the tenure system is the principal form of faculty appointment, and tenured or tenure-track appointments are preferred whenever feasible. Research-track and clinical-track full time faculty are invaluable to help perform the research and clinical practice missions of the University, and teaching-track and practitioner-track faculty may be invaluable for our teaching mission when it is academically desirable to make such appointments, for example to bring a practical orientation or to teach skills. Part-time appointments are generally to be avoided, but are appropriate for individuals who are asked to teach a course because of special expertise and who have another full-time position or career. Any exceptions require special approval by the Provost.

Our shared governance system deals with faculty on their individual merits. In 2014 a teaching-track faculty member, Professor Ginger Clark, was elected by her Senate colleagues to be president-elect of the Academic Senate. Her presidency was a landmark among research universities that drew national attention.

Current changes embodying inclusivity

The Senates minutes show that during 2015 and 2016 the Senate resolved to retire the term "non-tenure-track" because it defined people for what they are not, and replaced it by referring to research-track, teaching-track, professional-track, and clinical track. (Subsequent consideration led to the term practitioner-track instead of professional-track, and we have sometimes used the term practice-track.) Here, as elsewhere in the Handbook, "clinical-track" refers to health professionals, even though some schools use the word “clinical” to designate colleagues on the teaching-track.
The Senate recognized that, with a few exceptions such as tenure rights, all faculty at USC have the same rights and responsibilities in the Faculty Handbook and resolved that the Handbook should therefore refer to them as one body, except where there is a clear need for distinction between the various tracks and profiles of faculty. Subsequently the Handbook Committee was also directed to remove from the text any differentiation between part-time and full-time faculty except where it is truly needed.

Taken together, those actions demonstrate a self-understanding that is rare among great research universities: in our academic culture and in faculty governance, we are all one faculty, whatever our status.

The history I have just recounted shows that the Senate is not a newcomer to these topics. It has devoted continuing attention to how best to define our faculty to meet our evolving academic needs.

I will now summarize how the principles of the 2015 and 2016 Senate resolutions are expressed in this edition of the Faculty Handbook.

The old term "non-tenure-track faculty" is replaced by "teaching-track, research-track, clinical-track, practitioner-track, and librarian faculty," in 3-B (2)(a) on activity profiles; the relevant sections (b) through (h) discuss their appropriate responsibilities. 4-D (2) and 4-G make the same change in nomenclature.

2-B (1) declares that "the faculty" and retired faculty constitute the Faculty Assembly, without differentiating separate tracks, and 3-A defines the composition of "the faculty" inclusive of all faculty regardless of status. 3-E (2), 4-A, and 4-B (2)(d) reflect the same shift to using "faculty" as the general term. These wordings follow the existing 2-B (3) which has always said that "the faculty" of each school have established an elected Faculty Council. In discussing the selection of division or departmental chairs, the wording of 2-A (3)(b) clarifies that all full-time faculty, not just tenured and tenure-track faculty, are consulted, paralleling language elsewhere in that section. 4-B (3)(b), instead of carving out mention of "non-tenure-track faculty" from the category of "faculty," instead carves out "tenure-track faculty." This section, like 4-D (2), also reminds the reader that being a candidate for tenure is not an unalloyed positive as it means that one is subject to an up-or-out decision. The wording at the beginning of 8-A on formal dismissal for cause is clarified to deal with all statuses of faculty. 8-D recognizes that the President of the Faculty need not be a tenured faculty member.

Our tradition of inclusiveness is reflected in 4-C (2), which restates our practice that part-time faculty are eligible to be elected or appointed to faculty governance bodies. Each academic unit determines for itself the best way to incorporate the perspectives of part-timers. In both the first and fifth sentences of 3-B (4), the language is changed to avoid distinguishing between full-time and part-time faculty. 4-H (1) deals with inclusion of part-time
faculty in personnel panels dealing with a part-timer.

Other current amendments

The Handbook changes I have discussed reflect the inclusive definition of our faculty. There are many other amendments in this edition. Following my custom, I will now summarize some of them.

2-A (3)(b) makes the process of selection of a chair more transparent by requiring that, when it is the Provost who makes the decision, the dean and faculty are provided with its rationale. 2-B (4) simplifies the wording recognizing that, in our system of shared governance, University and Academic Senate committees exercise a wide range of powers and duties delegated by the President. Members of University committees continue to be nominated by the Academic Senate and others and, as is our practice, can be appointed by someone else in place of the President.

3-I (4) on outside educational work was wholly rewritten to state clearly the process for requesting approval, and the criteria applied. Faculty should submit to the Provost's office a request for advance authorization. What will be considered, for example, are whether the outside work duplicates what we teach, dilutes our academic stature, or interferes with the faculty member's USC responsibilities.

As to 3-E (3)(b) and (3)(c), I would like to mention some additional ways in which we recognize faculty rights. We consider any reasonable accommodations necessary to enable a disabled faculty member to enjoy the benefits and privileges of employment equal to those enjoyed by similarly-situated faculty who are without disabilities; we observe California's Pregnancy Disability Leave law; we provide leaves to deal with serious health conditions of a domestic partner's child; and we recognize that sometimes, by law, some protected leaves do not run concurrently.

In 4-B (2) on faculty titles, subsection (b) corrects the titles of contract librarians, (d)(1) clarifies that our faculty teach courses for USC credit, and (f) permits USC staff to receive a title as adjunct professor, not just as lecturer, when given permission to teach a course for credit. Such staff remain subject to staff policies. (e)(2) recognizes the visiting assistant professor type of appointment now used in some disciplines for temporary faculty who are entry-level.

4-B (2)(f) makes clear that "adjunct" appointments are for those who have some other primary position or career (or have retired from one). Part-time faculty who do not meet that definition are properly termed "part-time lecturers" and such appointments can be made only when required by exceptional need. Existing 4-B (4) makes clear that this exception requires special approval by the Provost.
4-B (2)(h) and 4-B (3)(c) recognize that the category of “academic staff” (previously termed “affiliated academic staff”) encompasses, for example, research scientists and teachers of non-credit subject matter. In addition to the titles listed other non-faculty titles can be used as appropriate. Academic staff are not faculty and fall under non-faculty policies, whether as staff, students, post-doctorals, or some specially defined category. Some material was moved to this section from 3-A.

4-B (4) states the traditional interpretation that in preserving the tenure system as the principal form of faculty appointment, it is the number of teaching-track appointments that are to be considered when each school sets its limit. Research-track and clinical-track appointments are based on the needs of externally-funded grants and contracts, and patient needs.

In 4-D (1), to remove unnecessary detail, language has been deleted that stated that with one or two years of prior service, the maximum probationary period will be shorter by that amount.

5-B added a cross-reference to the limited cases discussed thereafter. 5-B (2) deals with research that is classified, export-controlled, or has other restrictions, and incorporates language based on recommendations from the Senate-Provost Research Committee.

Wording has been changed in a number of places within chapter 6 on sexual harassment and similar matters. In 6-A (2)(a) and (2)(b) and 6-E (3), references to “student” and “victim” are revised. 6-A (9)(a) and 6-E (1)(a) point to the policies and practices of the Office of Equity and Diversity, and 6-A (9)(b) clarifies some of the language on fairness. 6-A (13), on harassment not based on a protected category, has been moved from 6-B (3). Under existing 6-E (1), the University may designate persons to deal with such complaints in place of the Office of Equity and Diversity. 6-B (2)(b) removes unnecessary detail. New 6-B (4.5) provides an explicit prohibition of non-consensual viewing or recording of sexual activity, or dissemination of such recordings. 6-D (1)(b) reaffirms the principle that it is only the Office of Equity and Diversity that investigates and that reaches factual findings and conclusions on whether there has been a violation of the policies in Chapter 6. No other USC unit, individual or committee is permitted to do so, except for the appeal to the Provost’s delegate that is provided in 6-F (1) and except for harassment not based on a protected category as provided in 6-E (1). Similarly, 7-D declares that, for cases involving Chapter 6, a grievance panel does not substitute its judgment for the Chapter 6 process. 6-E (1)(b) and 6-F add references to designees. 6-E (4), 6-F (1), 6-F (4) and 6-G (1) deal with process details, and clarify current practice.

A change in 7-B (2) looks forward to the Academic Senate’s future provision of an online method to file grievances. There are several other amendments to chapter 7 on grievances that clarify or make explicit what was already our practice. 7-B (1)(a) and (b) make clear that faculty are invited to discuss and consult on any work-related grievance with the President of the Faculty, and
with the Vice Provost who represents the Provost. Another addition in 7-B (2) deals with selection of the panel if there is more than one party on a side, for example in a hearing from a Chapter 6 ruling when the original complainant and respondent may be parties in addition to the University. 7-C (2)(a) clarifies how to calculate a deadline. 7-C (4) deals with the situation where a party claims that some material is “necessary” evidence and requests the good offices of the hearing panel to secure it. Material generally cannot be provided if it involves the privacy of an individual or is confidential or legally privileged. The committee chair or panel may request the Provost to make a ruling on such material; the committee does not make the ruling. This is the existing practice. 7-C (4) also reaffirms our traditional principle that a grievances panel’s report is to deal only with the issue of infringements of the grievant’s rights, and is not to discuss other matters. And 7-C (4) also restates the provision of 6-A (9)(b) that in Title IX cases both the original complainant and respondent have equal opportunity to participate in the grievance. 7-C (5) recognizes that, in matters involving Title VII or Title IX, government guidance requires the burden of persuasion to be the preponderance of the evidence, but otherwise the standard is clear and convincing evidence. The former wording of “a clear, persuasive preponderance” of evidence “in the record considered as a whole” meant the same but could be confusing to those used to the terminology familiar in courts. In the first sentence of the second paragraph of 7-D, “actions under chapter 6” was added. As I noted above, grievance panels do not re-do the investigation, the findings, or the conclusions of chapter 6 matters, which under 6-D (1)(b) are the sole responsibility of the Office of Equity and Diversity.

8-D (1) adds a requirement of the associate professor rank so that those involved in the decision have appropriate experience. 8-D (2) continues the Handbook’s longstanding policy that the procedures for grievances are the same as for dismissal cases, with the University having the same burden of persuasion for dismissal as grievants have for grievances.

9-AA clarifies that the parent receiving the benefit is the sole caregiver half time or more during the work week. 9-D clarifies the process.

Finally, some changes are housekeeping matters. Citations to websites have been updated throughout the Handbook. In a number of places, subheads, paragraphing, or paragraph numbers have been added for ease of reference. 2-A (1) and (2) update the size of the Board of Trustees and list of University officers and 3-F updates a committee name. In 2-A (3)(b), “academic units” replaces the terms “divisions or departments.” In 3-E (2), 4-B (2)(l), and 4-B (3)(b), references are corrected. Throughout Chapter 6, the term “complainant” replaces “accuser” and “victim,” the term “respondent” replaces “accused” and “alleged offender,” and the new name Relationship and Sexual Violence Prevention and Services is used for what was previously called the Center for Women & Men. In 6-A (8) and 6-D (1)(a), names of individuals and contact information have been updated. 6-A (11) refers to a statute by its full name. In 6-B and 6-B (2), mention of staff is deleted: while the Faculty
Handbook used to include sexual harassment policies concerning staff, staff are now governed by a separate policy.

General comments

I now turn from a summary of various amendments to some general matters. As I state in each edition, the Faculty Handbook contains many, though not all, of the current official policies of the University directly affecting the faculty, as established by the Board of Trustees or by the President under authority delegated by the Board of Trustees. Our Board of Trustees has specifically affirmed its endorsement and support of a collegial process of consultation and review in the development of amendments to the Faculty Handbook. In language that has also long been set out in Section 2 of the Handbook, the Trustees’ policy in this regard states:

The Board of Trustees endorses and supports a collegial process of consultation and review in the development of amendments to the Faculty Handbook. This process must include, at a minimum, the Academic Senate and Provost’s Council, and may also include representatives of other university constituencies which might be affected by such amendments. To be sure, any amendments that are endorsed by the Academic Senate and approved by the President will be incorporated into the Faculty Handbook. However, the University Bylaws make it clear that the Academic Senate is strictly advisory with respect to the President. Thus, in the context of a collegial process of consultation and review, the policy of the Board of Trustees has been and continues to be that the President bears the final authority and responsibility for amending the Faculty Handbook.

As might occur in the governance of any complex organization, conflicts may arise from time to time in the interpretation of sections of this Handbook vis-à-vis the University Bylaws or the policies of the Board of Trustees. As in previous editions, the Handbook continues to make clear that the language of the Bylaws and the Trustees’ policies will prevail.

This edition of the Faculty Handbook continues the tradition of stating some basic principles. A number of more detailed policies are available at www.usc.edu/policies. In order to be official policy, a document must bear the signature of the president or the senior vice president for academic affairs, administration or finance. Official policies may also be established by resolution of the Board of Trustees. School-specific guidelines yield to university-wide policies if there is any conflict. Some very useful web sites are the Faculty Portal, http://www.usc.edu/faculty/, the Academic Senate, http://academicsenate.usc.edu/, and Information for Research, Teaching, Practitioner, and Clinical Faculty, http://rtpc.usc.edu/.

Shared governance
I reaffirm with appreciation what previous Faculty Handbooks have declared: Faculty are partners in the work of the University, in the collective responsibilities of managing the academic enterprise as well as in their roles in teaching, research, artistic creation and professional practice. Faculty bear the responsibilities of leadership in our university, and I am grateful that our finest scholars, educators and artists take their turn in carrying a wide range of roles. Emblematic of eminent faculty who have carried these responsibilities is the late Solomon Golomb, who passed away this year, who had been both a Senate president and a laureate of the National Medal of Science.

The Academic Senate constitution, approved by a 2-1 vote in an all-university faculty referendum in 1993, describes our tripartite system of shared governance: Each school has an elected faculty council. The Academic Senate is made up of the presidents or other leaders of those councils and the Senate draws on the entire University faculty in electing its own officers. University-level faculty committees deal with a wide range of specific subjects. Many of those committees report to the Senate while the independence of decision-making committees is protected by a provision in the Senate’s constitution. Underlying this University shared governance system is the traditional structure of faculty meetings and committees within the academic units, a structure that is vital for our academic excellence.

It is one of the great strengths of USC’s faculty and of the University as a whole that the Senate is an “Academic” Senate: the faculty chose that name to symbolize our shared mission. The Senate’s first purpose, as declared in the constitution, is “to contribute to the intellectual vitality of the University.” So it is truly apt that Senate President Clark’s memo transmitting to me the first tranche of the current Handbook amendments began, “The Academic Senate recognizes the important role that all USC faculty play in lifting up the University, and contributing to its stature as a top tier research institution.”

The Senate Constitution declares that our faculty governance bodies are forums for faculty decision-making, and are the voice of the faculty in the making of university policy and the consideration of academic issues. Our evolving Faculty Handbook is a vital aspect of our shared governance, and I thank all those who have helped shape this edition.

C. L. Max Nikias
President
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2-A ADMINISTRATION

Policies and practices of the University derive from the total body of the University's charter provisions, bylaws, Board of Trustees resolutions, and Presidential directives by which the corporate staff is guided, as well as by policies and regulations initiated or recommended by the Academic Senate, the Provost's Council, the Staff Assembly, and the Student Senate, as approved by the President.

2-A (1) Board of Trustees

The University of Southern California is a private corporation governed by a self-perpetuating Board of Trustees not to exceed fifty members. The organization and operation of the Board of Trustees are described in the Bylaws of the University, a copy of which is available at the University policies website, http://policy.usc.edu.

2-A (2) The President and Other Officers of the Corporation

(a) The Board of Trustees elects annually the officers of the corporation including the Chairman of the Board; the President of the University; Provost and Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs; Senior Vice President, Administration; Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer; Senior Vice President, University Advancement; Senior Vice President, University Relations; Senior Vice President and Chief Executive Officer, Keck Medicine of USC; and Senior Vice President and General Counsel. The Bylaws of the University provide in Section 6.5 (b) that

"The Senior Officers shall have such duties as are set forth in these Bylaws and as are from time to time prescribed by the President of the University."

(b) Under the administrative structure of the University, all units of the University report directly or indirectly to the President. Among the President's responsibilities enumerated in the Bylaws of the University are the nomination of officers of the corporation (other than the Chairman of the Board); the selection of academic staff; academic programs and fiscal programs; and the enforcement of all rules and regulations of the University.

The Bylaws provide:

6.4 President of the University

6.4 (a) General. The President of the University shall be elected by the Board. He/she shall be the chief executive officer of the corporation in charge of all its operations. He/she shall be responsible for carrying out policies established by the Board; but he/she may delegate any of his/her functions. All of the officers of
the corporation, except the Chairman of the Board, all administrative executives, and all academic officers of the University shall be subject to the direction of the President of the University.

6.4 (b) Recommendation of Nominees for Officers. The President of the University shall recommend nominees for officers of the corporation except the Chairman of the Board to the Board Personnel Committee.

6.4 (c) Selection of Academic Staff. The President of the University shall appoint the dean or director of each college or school of the University together with such division chairmen, department heads, or other division heads or assistants throughout the University as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the work of the University. The President of the University shall determine the term of office and the duties of each such member of the academic staff.

6.4 (d) Academic Program. The President of the University shall have full power of appointment, direction, and supervision of the faculties and the organization thereof. He/she shall be an ex-officio member of the faculty of each college or school and may, at his/her election, act as the presiding officer of each faculty and of the University Faculty. He/she shall have the right to cast the deciding vote in any such group in case of a tie. He/she may appoint such committees of any faculty as he/she shall deem wise and proper and shall be a member ex-officio of all such committees.

6.4 (e) Fiscal Program. The President of the University, with the advice and assistance of the other officers of the corporation, shall prepare or cause to be prepared an annual operating budget for the University. Such budget shall be considered by the Finance Committee before presentation to the Board.

6.4 (f) Enforcement of Rules. The President of the University shall be responsible for the enforcement of all rules and regulations of the University.

2-A (3) Academic Organization

(a) The Bylaws of the University describe its academic organization as follows:

8.1 General. The President of the University shall appoint the following academic officers, who shall not be officers of the corporation:

(a) Academic Deans and Directors
(b) Division and Department Chairmen

(c) Such other Academic Officers as may be designated by the Board

2-A (3)(a) Academic Deans and Directors

(1) Academic deans and directors are appointed for no longer than a stated expected term (normally five years), and may be reappointed following an appropriate review and evaluation, all subject to section 6.4 (c) of the Bylaws which is quoted above in Section 2-A (2) and subject to section 2-A (3)(d) below.

(2) Appointments and reappointments of deans and directors are to be done in consultation with the faculty. Of academic deans and directors, the University Bylaws say the following:

8.2 Academic Deans and Directors. The academic dean or director of each college, school, or other administrative unit of the University shall, subject to the provisions of Section 8.1, be the chief administrative officer thereof and shall be responsible for the proper preparation and conduct of its educational program. He/she shall continuously study the academic program and needs of his/her unit and shall make an annual report to the President of the University through the Senior Officer to whose immediate direction he/she is subject. He/she shall supervise the progress and look after the academic welfare of the students of his/her unit and shall furnish such information concerning his/her school as may be requested by the President of the University.

2-A (3)(b) Chairs or Directors of Academic Units

The chair or director of an academic unit shall be a tenured faculty member unless the Provost authorizes otherwise. If the chair or director is untenured, then the Dean will designate a tenured faculty member to deal with all tenure-related issues. He or she is appointed for no longer than a stated expected term (up to five years), but without prejudice to reappointment by the procedures as described below, all subject to section 6.4 (c) of the Bylaws which is quoted above in Section 2-A (2), and subject to section 2-A (3)(d) below.

Chairs or directors of academic units are appointed by the dean, with authority delegated by the President through the Provost, following full consideration by all faculty eligible to vote in the unit. The chair or director is appointed by the dean, following selection by (1) election by all faculty eligible to vote or (2) thorough consultation with the faculty of the department and of related departments. Appointments will normally be in conformity with department members' judgments. If, in extraordinary circumstances, conformity is not
reached after such consultation and consideration, the dean shall consult with
the Provost, who shall have the full and separate reports and recommendations
of the departmental faculty, prior to decision, and will provide the dean and
faculty the rationale of the decision. Of academic unit chairs or directors, the
Bylaws of the University say the following:

8.3 Division Chairmen and Department Heads. The chairman or
head of each academic division or department of each college,
school, or other administrative unit of the University under its dean
or director shall, subject to the provisions of Section 8.1, be its
chief administrative officer. He/she shall be responsible for the
organization and maintenance of the work of his/her department
and shall make periodic reports to his/her dean or director to
furnish such information as may be requested.

2-A (3)(c) School Academic Administrators

Other school academic administrators (such as institute directors,
department vice chairs, and section chiefs) also are appointed for no
longer than a stated expected term (up to five years), but without
prejudice to reappointment following an appropriate review and
evaluation, all subject to section 6.4 (c) of the Bylaws which is quoted
above in Section 2-A (2), and subject to section 2-A (3)(d) below.

2-A (3)(d) In General

Deans, chairs, and other school academic administrators do not have tenure in
office; they serve at will, and their appointments may be terminated by the
President or his or her delegate with or without cause. Tenure as a faculty
member is a matter of separate right.

2-B FACULTY

2-B (1) The Faculty Assembly, Academic Senate and Faculty Councils

The faculty at large constitute the Faculty Assembly. When convened in a
general meeting, or acting through referendum, the Faculty Assembly is the
ultimate body for determining faculty positions on academic and University
issues. It usually acts through its representative bodies, the Academic Senate
and the school Faculty Councils, to debate issues and express faculty opinion to
the University administration.

The Constitution of the Academic Senate describes the goals of these faculty
bodies as follows:

The primary purpose of the Faculty Assembly, the Academic
Senate, and the school faculty councils, is to contribute to the
intellectual vitality of the University, to faculty governance, and to
the faculty environment. They are forums for faculty decision-making, and are the voice of the faculty in the making of university policy and the consideration of academic issues. They strive to safeguard academic freedom, full academic due process, and the tenure system. They also determine positions on faculty status, responsibilities and welfare, other important institutional issues, and any and all issues pertaining to the wellbeing of the faculty and the University.

2-B (2) The Academic Senate

According to its Constitution, the Academic Senate "is the representative body of the faculty at large for university-wide issues." The Senate is described in the University Bylaws as follows:

9.4 Academic Senate The Academic Senate, as from time to time elected or designated by the faculty, shall be a deliberative and consultative body, with power to make studies, reports, and recommendations to the President of the University in any and all matters pertinent to the well-being of the faculty.

2-B (2)(a) Academic Senate Constitution and Bylaws

The complete text of the Constitution of the Academic Senate, which sets forth the purposes and organization of the Faculty Assembly, the Academic Senate, and the school Faculty Councils, is available at the Senate website, https://academicsenate.usc.edu/documents/constitution/.

The text of the Academic Senate Bylaws is also available at the Senate website, https://academicsenate.usc.edu/documents/bylaws/.

The Academic Senate Constitution describes the Academic Senate as a body that shall, among other responsibilities,

seek to enhance the University's intellectual vitality..., be the voice of the faculty in making university policy..., carefully monitor University issues..., [and] strengthen faculty participation in governance.

The Senate especially concerns itself with the processes by which major University decisions about personnel and academic policy are made, with a view to obtaining and disseminating information about such decision-making.

Faculty members who accept their election to the Senate declare their individual commitment to work through it for the realization of these goals.
2-B (2)(b) Officers and Executive Board

As is provided in the Senate Constitution, the University-wide executive officers of the faculty are the President of the Faculty, the Academic Vice President, the Administrative Vice President, and the Secretary General. The President of the Faculty presides as chief executive officer of the Faculty Assembly, the Academic Senate, and the Executive Board. The Executive Board serves as the channel of communication between the Academic Senate and the administrative officers of the University and may act for the Senate between meetings, if there is urgency.

2-B (2)(c) Members

Voting members of the Academic Senate are the President of each Faculty Council, any additional delegates from the Councils as specified by the Senate Bylaws, the executive officers of the faculty, and members at large of the Executive Board. Non-voting members are the chairs of faculty committees and, if authorized by the Bylaws, representatives of other university organizations.

2-B (3) Faculty Councils

The faculty of each school have established an elected council to participate in the governance of the unit and the University. The elected faculty President of each school's Faculty Council represents it as a member of the Academic Senate, as do additional delegates as designated by the Senate Bylaws with regard to the size of the academic unit.

2-B (4) University and Senate Committees

Provision is made in the University Bylaws and in the University's current practices for a variety of bodies whose powers and duties are delegated by the President and are subject to the Bylaws and to the powers of the Board of Trustees.

2-B (4)(a) University Committees

(1) A system of University Committees is defined in the University Bylaws:

9.2 (b) Committees. The University Faculty shall be organized into committees. These committees shall be appointed by the President of the University or, if delegated by the President of the University, appointed by: (i) the Provost and Senior Vice President, Academic Affairs; (ii) the Academic Dean or Director; or (iii) such other officer, administrative executive, or body as authorized by the President of the University. Each shall meet at regular intervals during the academic year. Each shall appoint a secretary who shall keep a record of its proceedings. Each may make such rules of procedure, and provide for such subcommittees, as may be
deemed proper. The President of the University may appoint to any such committee one or more persons not members of the Faculty.

9.2 (c) Powers And Duties Of Committees. Each such committee shall perform such duties as may be fixed by, and shall have such powers and exercise such authority as shall be delegated to it by the President of the University. The powers and duties to be so divided among, and assigned to, the various committees may include the following powers and duties, subject to the approval of, and a power of review in, the President of the University, and subject always to the policies and directives of the Board in effect from time to time:

(1) To prescribe requirements for admission, courses of study, conditions of graduation, the nature of degrees to be conferred in course, and rules and methods for the conduct of the educational work of the University;
(2) To recommend to the President of the University persons to receive the awards of fellowships, scholarship, prizes, and grants-in-aid;
(3) To recommend to the President of the University candidates for Honorary Degrees;
(4) To investigate all cases of misconduct of students, and violations of rules of the University by students, and through the proper officers, to administer such discipline as they determine appropriate under the circumstances;
(5) To prescribe rules for the regulation of student publications, athletics, intercollegiate games, social and professional activities, and other student affairs;
(6) To recommend to the President of the University appointments to senior faculty ranks, and the grant of tenure;
(7) To review, at the request of the President of the University, the academic work of departments and programs of the University, and to make recommendations thereon to the President of the University;
(8) To conduct hearings on faculty grievances and on dismissals for cause, as provided in policies promulgated by the University, and to make recommendations to the President of the University for the disposition of such matters;
(9) To recommend to the President of the University policies concerning the libraries and information services, research, safety in research, and protection of human subjects;
(10) To make recommendations to the President of the University concerning business affairs, employee benefits, financial exigency, galleries, public art, convocations, patents and technology transfer; and
(11) *To make recommendations concerning other matters as authorized by the President of the University.*

(2) University Committees advise the President and work closely with those administrative officers and units of the University most directly related to the responsibilities of the committee. Where appropriate, reports of University Committees are reviewed by the Academic Senate.

(3) Members of University Committees are selected by the President upon nomination by the Academic Senate and others. The Senate acts through the Executive Board. Members of some committees are jointly selected by the University and the Academic Senate.

2-B (4)(b)  *Academic Senate Committees*

Academic Senate Committees are established by the Senate, with members and chairs selected by the Executive Board from the membership of the Faculty Assembly or others. Committees report semi-annually to the Executive Board with reports forwarded to the Senate for action or publication.

The Executive Board works to coordinate University and Senate committee selection and membership.

2-B (5)  *The Faculty Handbook*

(1) The Faculty Handbook will be made available to the entire faculty at the University web site. Printed copies will be supplied to the offices of the Provost and the Academic Senate.

(2) The Senate has authority, derived from the University Bylaws, to make recommendations to the President in any and all matters pertinent to the well-being of the faculty, and therefore may propose any Faculty Handbook amendment it decides to endorse.

(3) The rules in the Faculty Handbook are intended to be applied reasonably in light of their purposes.

(4) Any proposed Faculty Handbook amendment submitted for Academic Senate consideration will be reviewed by the Senate Handbook Committee and the Executive Board, which will work with the Provost's representative in a process designed to lead to a joint formulation by faculty and administration. As part of this process, the Handbook Committee should seek other views and information, and the Provost's representative should seek views and information from relevant university offices. The rationale and text of a proposed amendment that is recommended to the Senate by the Handbook Committee and the Executive Board will be circulated to Senate members in advance of a scheduled Senate meeting. Senate members are requested to submit suggested revisions for the proposed amendment to the Handbook Committee and Executive Board.
so that these can be considered in advance of the scheduled Senate meeting. Any proposals to revise an amendment which are endorsed by the Senate without prior joint formulation will be reviewed as provided in the first sentence of the paragraph. Subject to the University Bylaws and policies established by the Board of Trustees and the Trustees' delegation of authority to the President, a joint formulation endorsed by the Senate and adopted by the President of the University will be incorporated into the Faculty Handbook.

(5) The Board of Trustees has specifically affirmed its endorsement and support of a collegial process of consultation and review in the development of amendments to the Faculty Handbook. The Trustees' policy in this regard states:

The Board of Trustees endorses and supports a collegial process of consultation and review in the development of amendments to the Faculty Handbook. This process must include, at a minimum, the Academic Senate and Provost's Council, and may also include representatives of other university constituencies which might be affected by such amendments. To be sure, any amendments that are endorsed by the Academic Senate and approved by the President will be incorporated into the Faculty Handbook. However, the University Bylaws make it clear that the Academic Senate is strictly advisory with respect to the President. Thus, in the context of a collegial process of consultation and review, the policy of the Board of Trustees has been and continues to be that the President bears the final authority and responsibility for amending the Faculty Handbook.
Chapter 3

Faculty: Academic Practices and Policies
3-A FACULTY COMPOSITION

The University Bylaws define the faculty of the University of Southern California as follows:

9.2(a) Composition. The University Faculty shall consist of the President of the University; the Provost and Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs; Academic Deans and Directors; and members of the teaching and research staffs holding titles including the following terms: Professors, Associate Professors, Assistant Professors, Instructors, Lecturers (but not Assistant Lecturers) and Librarians.

The faculty consists of those properly appointed members of the teaching and research staffs holding these faculty titles, whether or not tenured or tenure-eligible, whether full- or part-time. However, academic staff as listed in section 4-B(2)(h) of Chapter 4 are not designated as faculty.

3-B FACULTY RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

3-B (1) Academic and Professional Freedom

The University adheres to national standards and procedures concerning academic and professional freedom, academic tenure, and full academic due process. Chapter 4, Faculty Appointments, Promotions and Tenure; Chapter 7, Faculty Grievances; and Chapter 8, Faculty Dismissals, provide the details as to how this adherence is made specific.

3-B (1)(a) Academic Freedom

The University of Southern California exists for the common good, and not to further the interest of solely the individual faculty member or the institution as a whole. The common good depends upon the free search for truth and its free exposition.

Academic freedom protects all faculty. Academic freedom is essential to these purposes and applies to both teaching and research. Freedom in research is fundamental to the advancement of truth. Academic freedom in its teaching aspect is fundamental for the protection of the rights of the teacher in teaching and of the student to freedom in learning. It carries with it duties correlative with rights.

Faculty members are entitled to full freedom in research and the publication of the result, subject to adequate performance of their other academic duties, but research and consultation for pecuniary return shall be in accord with University policy. Basic principles on the right of the faculty to freedom from undue restrictions by sponsors and donors are set out in Section 5-B (1) of Chapter 5, and similar principles apply to educational activities.
Faculty members are entitled to freedom in the classroom in discussing their subject; to the corollary responsibility to state the truth as they see it; and to the exercise of critical self-discipline and judgment in using, extending, and transmitting knowledge. Faculty members are citizens, members of learned professions, and officers of an educational institution. When they speak or write as citizens, they should be free from institutional censorship or discipline, but their special position in the community imposes special obligations. As educational officers they should remember that the public may judge their profession and the University by their utterances. Hence, they should at all times be accurate, should exercise appropriate restraint, should show respect for the opinions of others, and should make every effort to indicate that they are not speaking for the University.

Because it provides freedom and economic security, tenure is indispensable to the success of an institution in fulfilling its obligations to its students and to society. (See Section 4-E of Chapter 4 for additional information about tenure.)

3-B (1)(b)  Professional Freedom

Professional freedom is inherent within the concept of academic freedom and denotes the right of a faculty member to select those approaches to the development and exercise of professional competence and those allocations of time and place for alternative functions and commitments as appear best fitted for this purpose.

Professional responsibility is a corollary of professional freedom. The faculty member owes responsibility to the standards of his or her discipline, professional and faculty colleagues, students, educational institution, and the community at large.

3-B (2)  Academic and Professional Responsibilities

Although various groups contribute in different ways to the University's well-being, it is the faculty who preeminently create and enhance the scholarly excellence of the University. Their importance to the intellectual life of the University and the community at large confers on them, individually and collectively, certain responsibilities to the institution, to their students, and to their respective disciplines. Consequently, faculty academic status and matters related thereto are primarily a faculty responsibility. This includes appointments, reappointments, decisions not to reappoint, promotion, the granting of tenure, and dismissal.

The faculty's role on curriculum is set out in the University Bylaws as follows:

9.3 Courses of Instruction. The courses of instruction in the several schools and colleges shall be prescribed by their several faculties,
on the recommendation of the appropriate faculty committee and subject to the approval of the President of the University.

3-B (2)(a) Activity Profile

Faculty discharge these responsibilities through teaching, research, and service. The average activity profile of a full-time tenure-track or tenured faculty member is approximately 35-45% each of teaching and research and 5-15% of service. (Some schools prefer to express the average profile as 3/9 – 4/9 each of teaching and research and 1/9 of service.) Although actual percentages may vary depending on the needs of the faculty member's academic unit, it is expected that each tenured and tenure-track faculty member will make a significant contribution in each of the three areas of responsibility, except that a research-only profile for a tenured or tenure-track faculty member may be established, only on a temporary basis and only with the Provost's approval. Teaching-track, research-track, clinical-track, practitioner-track, and librarian faculty will have a different activity profile depending on the needs and expectations of the academic unit in which they serve. The specific nature of a faculty member's teaching, research, and/or clinical service to the University may be adapted in accordance with the demands of the specific academic unit, or the terms of a particular faculty member's established profile, or both. The activity profile should be established through consultation between each faculty member and the dean or chair of the academic unit. Faculty members may pursue other professional activities, in accordance with University conflict of interest policies, as long as these endeavors do not detract from the faculty member's responsibilities to the University.

3-B (2)(b) Teaching

Teaching includes classroom and other instruction of undergraduate, graduate, and professional and post-graduate students, academic advising, preparation, librarianship, and the direction of research. Faculty are expected to meet their classes, be accessible to their students through regularly scheduled and sufficient office hours, and provide grading of student work in a timely fashion. Each class is to be provided with a syllabus outlining goals, the course schedule, readings, requirements for research papers, a timetable for examinations, the method and criteria for grading, and the means by which students can contact the faculty member outside the classroom. Students in all classes, labs, and discussion sections should be given the opportunity to provide evaluation and suggestions through anonymous course assessment forms. Faculty are expected to teach courses that have been assigned to them by the department chair, including mid-semester changes if needed. Assignments will be made after consultation with department faculty, on the basis of departmental or school needs. It is very important that students receive the instruction to which they are entitled and, except very rarely, from the instructor of record. In case of faculty absence, it is incumbent on the faculty member to provide appropriate class coverage, subject to the review of the chair or dean, as requested. Absences of two consecutive weeks or more require the faculty member and the
chair or dean to provide a written plan for the discharge of individual faculty responsibilities, with notice to the Provost.

3-B (2)(c) Mentoring

Mentoring is an important component of faculty responsibilities. Depending on the discipline, the research effort involves the supervision and mentoring of undergraduate students, graduate students, other faculty, and those occupying post-doctoral or other research positions. Such mentoring is also part of a faculty member's teaching effort. Mentoring of junior faculty is a significant service responsibility of senior faculty members.

3-B (2)(d) Clinical Responsibilities

Faculty in a clinical department are expected to perform clinical responsibilities that have been assigned by the department chair, after consultation with the affected individual. If requested, there will be consultation within 30 days with a standing or ad hoc department faculty committee, appointed by the dean and including an observer from faculty council. Such clinical assignments shall be made on the basis of departmental needs.

3-B (2)(e) Research and Artistic Work

Faculty are expected to engage in high quality, continuing research of a type appropriate for the field, discipline, or interdisciplinary area. Typically, the product of the research effort is publication or its counterpart in the visual and performing arts (performances, exhibitions, and the like). Similarly, depending on the field or discipline, research can require considerable effort in the writing of proposals or related endeavors to acquire outside funding as may be needed to conduct a faculty member's research. Participation in conferences, professional societies, and peer review panels are common activities. Ultimately, the results of research and artistic work are expected to contribute to the faculty member's national or international reputation.

3-B (2)(f) Librarianship

Faculty who are full-time librarians have a primary responsibility of excellent librarianship. This includes serving the library and information needs of faculty and students, and supporting the mission of the University and the goals of the libraries. Professional growth and development is an additional responsibility. The individual's activity profile may also include teaching, research, and service as defined by the needs of the appropriate library or assigned by the Dean.

3-B (2)(g) Service

Service includes effort on committees and other activities at the departmental level through the University level. Depending on the field or discipline, this effort may include work outside the University.
3-B (2)(h)  In General

Teaching, clinical, and service assignments shall not be made for discriminatory, arbitrary, capricious, or retaliatory reasons. Claims that assignments violate this or any other provision of the Faculty Handbook, other University policies, or provisions of law are subject to review through the grievance procedure. However, contested teaching, clinical and service assignments shall be performed pending any review.

3-B (3)  Additional Responsibilities

Full-time service of faculty includes:

Performance of teaching duties, clinical duties, or librarianship in accord with established requirements of the particular school or department in which the faculty member is employed;

Professional development and enhancement of the public good and of the strength of the University through research, scholarly publication or other creative activity, and activity in professional groups and societies;

Counseling of students, assisting in registration and Commencement, maintaining regular office hours, serving on University Committees, and performing such other University service as may be needed during the academic year or fiscal year period of service.

3-B (4)  Contractual Conditions

Contracts or letters shall be issued to all faculty, by the appropriate University officer, specifying academic rank and title, salary, any change in tenure status, starting and ending dates for all appointments, and any change in the Tenure Decision Date (where appropriate). Any other conditions or limitations of employment also shall be given or incorporated by reference. The President shall issue annual contracts or letters to all full-time faculty, as well as those faculty with part-time duties accorded full-time-equivalent status for University policies including benefits eligibility. The Provost or deans shall issue contracts or letters to all other faculty giving the title, salary, and terms of the appointment. No individual is considered to have faculty status unless the President, Provost, or dean has signed a written contract or letter for that employee specifying a faculty title and other applicable conditions of the Handbook are met; however, academic staff - as listed in section 4-B (2)(h) of Chapter 4 are not designated as faculty.

Whenever possible, contracts or letters shall be issued and delivered to all continuing faculty by the date of University Commencement.
3-B (5) Periods of Service

Except for academic recess and University holidays, unless agreed otherwise by individual contract, the period of service for academic year faculty is from August 16 through May 15 of the following calendar year and the period of service for fiscal year faculty is from July 1 through June 30 of the following calendar year.

If the Provost grants special permission a newly-appointed academic year faculty member may begin the appointment before August 16 (on or after May 16 of the previous summer), and if the Provost grants special permission an academic year faculty member who is not returning for the following year may retain appointment beyond May 15 (up to August 15), with compensation arrangements as agreed in each case.

3-C RESPONSIBILITIES TO STUDENTS

The faculty of the University of Southern California expect themselves and one another to maintain high standards in the conduct of their courses. For a general statement of faculty responsibilities in teaching as well as research and service see Section 3-B, Faculty Rights and Responsibilities.

For specific information relating to most student concerns, the primary documents of authority are the University Catalogue (http://catalogue.usc.edu) and SCampus (http://policy.usc.edu/student/scampus/). The program requirements listed in the Catalogue supersede any information contained in any bulletin of any school or department. Specific information about matters not covered in the catalogue can be found in the Schedule of Classes (http://classes.usc.edu/); the Grading and Correction of Grades Handbook (http://arr.usc.edu/services/grades/gradinghandbook.html); and the Student Directory (on-line only at https://my.usc.edu/wp/student/SearchForm.do). For reference purposes, the following table delineates the appropriate university document for various common faculty-student administration issues.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific Issue</th>
<th>Source(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Information about conduct of courses, grading, student records, students with disabilities, ethics of graduate study, and academic integrity</td>
<td>University Catalogue; Schedule of Classes; Grading and Correction of Grades Handbook; Student Conduct Code (<a href="http://policy.usc.edu/student/scampus/">http://policy.usc.edu/student/scampus/</a>); sections of SCampus; Code of Ethics (<a href="http://ooc.usc.edu/usccode-ethics">http://ooc.usc.edu/usccode-ethics</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information about registration, withdrawal, drop and add procedures, dates and locations, and rescheduling of classes</td>
<td>University Catalogue; Schedule of Classes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information regarding the</td>
<td>University Catalogue; Schedule of Class</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
administration of mid-term exams, final exams, accommodations for students with disabilities, and submission of grade reports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administration of mid-term exams, final exams, accommodations for students with disabilities, and submission of grade reports</th>
<th>Classes; Grading and Correction of Grades Handbook;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Information on identifying and responding to academic dishonesty</td>
<td>Student Conduct Code and University Governance sections of SCampus (<a href="http://policy.usc.edu/student/scampus/">http://policy.usc.edu/student/scampus/</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email and contact identification for enrolled students</td>
<td>Student Directory (On-line only)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following administrators and their staffs may also be consulted regarding matters not covered in the foregoing publications: Vice Provost for Student Affairs, Vice President of Admissions and Planning, Dean of Academic Records and Registrar, and the advisement and administrative offices in the various academic units of the University.

3-D COMPENSATION

3-D (1) Pay Schedule and Payroll Information

It is the policy of the University to make payroll schedules and information readily available to faculty members, and update them regularly. Current payroll information is posted at the Employee Information web site, http://ais-ss.usc.edu/empldoc/. The website provides up-to-date information on required paperwork pertaining to payroll information for appointments, elections, deductions and terminations.

3-D (2) Compensation: Components and Changes

3-D (2)(a) Compensation Components

Total University salary (a) includes core salary based on a nine-month academic year or a twelve-month fiscal year period of service (or other period based on individual contract) and (b) can also include supplementary salary or stipends, which are set for a fixed period (one year at a time, unless a different period is specifically stated by contract.)

- **Supplementary Salary for Summer Teaching.** Members of the full-time faculty are given first option to teach courses offered by their departments during the Summer Session. Full-time faculty on academic year appointments may receive up to 3/9 of their core pay from non-grant funds during the summer months, subject to the approval of their dean.

- **Supplementary Salary for Summer Research and Other Sponsored Activities.** The United States government and some private agencies do not permit extra compensation or overload payment during the academic
year on grants or contracts. No overload payment is permitted for research by faculty with fiscal year period of service. For faculty with academic year period of service, grants and contracts may provide for additional earning during the summer at the same monthly rate as during the academic year. Payment for more than 11 months service for academic year faculty is permitted only when approved by the awarding agency, and the arrangement should be included in the proposal to the government or private agency concerned.

- **Other Supplementary Salary and Administrative Stipends:** Full-time faculty members on academic year or fiscal year appointment may receive extra compensation from non-grant funds, subject to the approval of the Provost.

- **Institutional Base Salary:** Administrative stipends and other supplementary salary, along with core salary, as established annually by the Provost are part of institutional base salary as specified by the Compliance office.

### 3-D (2)(b) Compensation Changes

Merit-based increases in core salary of continuing active faculty are considered annually as part of the salary setting process. University policies and guidelines deal with the merit evaluation of faculty, including the participation of a faculty committee. No cuts in the contractual University core salary of continuing active full-time tenured faculty members or continuing active full-time untenured faculty members during the term of an existing annual appointment or a contract shall be made except by the Provost and then only (a) for bona fide financial reasons short of financial exigency, pro rata applying an equal percentage to all continuing active full-time tenured and untenured faculty in the school or (b) for adequate cause (not for financial exigency) with the protections provided in Chapter 6 or Section 8-B of Chapter 8 or (c) in accord with contractual terms or express agreement with the faculty member. These cuts are not to exceed 10%, for violation of the policy on Providing a Safe Educational and Work Environment, Chapter 6, or not to exceed 10% for adequate cause (as defined in the first sentence of Section 8-C of Chapter 8), not necessarily serious enough to warrant dismissal, after recommendation by a faculty committee. In each case the cut will be subject to annual review. This paragraph does not override provisions on reduction in salary elsewhere in the Handbook.

### 3-E LEAVES OF ABSENCE

#### 3-E (1) General

The following section summarizes leaves of absence for which faculty members may qualify. Detailed policies are available on the University Policies website, http://policy.usc.edu. Failure to return to work after one year on leave or
absent from duty may be a basis for termination of employment by the
President or Provost without formal proceedings, unless the Provost approves an
extension. Most types of leave are granted for one semester, or one year as a
maximum.

Faculty anticipating a leave without pay should consult the Benefits Office for
information about the effect of the leave on their fringe benefits.

Tenure-track faculty who have been granted leave may under certain
circumstances request an extension of the Tenure Decision Date, and special
provisions are set out in the policy on Academic and Family Life Balance,
Chapter 9. Requests for extension of the Tenure Decision Date shall be in
writing and shall be directed to the Committee on Probationary Deadlines for its
review and recommendation in writing to the President, either prior to the leave
or within six months after returning to regular activities.

The Leaves Coordinator serves as a resource for information about government
and University rules on leaves and benefits and their coordination.

3-E (2)  Sabbatical Leave

Sabbatical leave may be granted to faculty members after either six years or six
semesters of accrued full-time service at the University. Deans and directors
should take into consideration the following factors when making
recommendations to the Provost:

i.  A definite and well-defined research or study program must be submitted
    for approval. A candidate should indicate what the prospects of
    completion of the project will be.

ii.  Sabbatical leave will be allowed (a) one semester on full salary for each
     six years of service, (b) one academic year on half salary for each six
     years of service, or (c) one semester at half salary for each six semesters
     of service.

iii. If the faculty member requests based on an exceptional situation, the
     Provost may approve on a merit basis a more frequent sabbatical leave or
     more than half salary.

iv.  A faculty member on sabbatical leave should not engage in other activities
     for remuneration that would intrude on his or her sabbatical research.

v.   The absence of a faculty member should not jeopardize the academic
     program of his or her department.

vi.  It is expected that the faculty member will return to the University for at
     least one year after sabbatical.
A final report shall be provided to the dean or director upon the faculty member's return.

Normally, sabbatical leave is taken immediately following the period of service to which the leave relates. The sabbatical leave privilege may not be accumulated; e.g., after 12 years, a sabbatical of two academic years may not be taken.

Also, the sabbatical should be taken over consecutive academic periods (e.g., fall and spring semesters of a given academic year, or spring semester and fall semester of consecutive years, but not fall of one academic year and fall or spring of the following academic year). Benefits shall continue during the paid sabbatical.

A department may recommend to the dean exceptions to these policies, however, to facilitate the research or study of the faculty member. The department may also recommend an exception to the dean to meet teaching or other needs of the department, the school, or the University. Such exceptions require approval in writing by the Provost.

This section deals with eligibility of tenured faculty. Eligibility of other faculty is discussed in Section 4-C (2)(b) of Chapter 4.

3-E (3) Medical Leavess

This subsection summarizes the types of medical leave. An individual on unpaid medical leave may qualify for payment of disability benefits, or for University insurance benefits, or both; for information see the University Benefits website http://benefits.usc.edu.

3-E (3)(a) Academic and Family Life Balance

See Chapter 9.

3-E (3)(b) Medical Leave (UNPAID)

Faculty members who are unable to work due to an illness, injury, or disability (including pregnancy-related disability) may be eligible for an unpaid medical leave. Such individuals may qualify for disability benefits while on medical leave. The Provost may place eligible faculty members on medical leave for the period that they are unable to work. The Provost may place on leave without pay faculty members who are absent for more than two consecutive weeks, whether or not they qualify for disability benefits. Medical leave runs concurrently with all other leave for which a faculty member qualifies. Medical leaves for longer than one year are not regularly available, although the Provost may extend the leave and the University will do so to the extent the law requires.
As an alternative, upon request, the University will consider any reasonable accommodations necessary to enable a faculty member with a qualifying disability (as defined under state and federal law) to perform the essential function of his or her position

3-E (3)(c)  

Statutory Family Care and Medical Leave (UNPAID)

Unpaid statutory Family Care and Medical Leave is governed by the provisions of the federal Family and Medical Leave Act, and the California Family Rights Act (CFRA). Eligible faculty members may take unpaid statutory Family Care Leave for the birth or adoption of a faculty member's child; the placement of a foster child with the faculty member; or the serious health condition (as defined under state and federal law) of a faculty member's child, spouse, domestic partner (as provided by CFRA) or parent. Eligible faculty members may take unpaid statutory Medical Leave for a faculty member's own serious health condition (as defined under state and federal law) including disability on account of pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions.

A faculty member generally may take up to 12 weeks of unpaid statutory Family Care and Medical Leave in a 12-month period, as detailed in the policies posted on the University Policies website http://policy.usc.edu. A faculty member who takes leave for a pregnancy-related disability, followed by leave for baby-bonding, may take longer leave as detailed in University policy. Family Care and Medical Leave runs concurrently with all other leave for which a faculty member qualifies. The faculty member may also qualify for paid parental leave as explained in Chapter 9, or disability benefits.

3-E (3)(d)  

Absences due to Illness

A tenure-track faculty member who has been granted medical leave may request an extension of the Tenure Decision Date. Such extensions shall be requested in writing and shall be directed to the Committee on Probationary Deadlines for its review and recommendation in writing to the President, either prior to the leave or within six months after returning to regular activities.

A faculty member who is absent because of illness for a brief period should make arrangements through his or her department chair to see that his or her teaching and other responsibilities are met.

The University grants sick leave in accordance with law. Detailed policies are available on the University Policies website, http://policy.usc.edu. Absences for more than seven consecutive days that are due to accident, illness or other temporary medical disability (including pregnancy, childbirth, and related medical conditions) may qualify the faculty member for disability benefits. Additional information is available from the Benefits Administration Office.

Insurance benefits ordinarily provided by the University and for which the faculty member is otherwise eligible, will be continued during the period the
faculty member is medically certified as unable to work due to a medical disability or serious health condition. The cost of coverage normally borne by the faculty member will remain the responsibility of the faculty member. Once a doctor has certified the faculty member medically able to return to work, the faculty member is responsible for paying the full cost of benefit premiums until he or she returns to active service.

3-E (4) Military Leave

The University grants military leave in accordance with law. Detailed policies are available on the University Policies website, http://policy.usc.edu.

3-E (5) Parental Leave (PAID)

See Chapter 9, Academic and Family Life Balance.

3-E (6) Jury Duty

Leave for jury service is available to faculty as for other University employees as specified on the University Policies website http://policy.usc.edu. Additional paid leave may be provided at the discretion of the Dean.

3-E (7) Vacation

Faculty members on fiscal year appointments are entitled to 20 working days annual vacation, at a time agreed upon with the department of appointment so that it does not conflict with faculty responsibilities. The maximum amount of vacation a faculty member on fiscal year appointment can accrue at any time is 35 working days. Faculty members who accrue the maximum amount of vacation are not eligible to earn any additional vacation until that accrued vacation is reduced to below the maximum level.

3-E (8) Personal Leave of Absence (UNPAID)

Unpaid Personal Leave of Absence (also known as Special Leave) may be granted at the discretion of the Provost for faculty members who are not eligible for another type of leave (Sick, Family and Medical, Medical, or Parental Leave). Personal Leave may be granted for one or two semesters and generally may not exceed one year.

3-E (9) Indefinite Leave

Faculty, including tenured faculty, may be placed on what is called "indefinite leave" without duties or pay only as provided by Section 4-E of Chapter 4.

3-E (10) Part-time Leave
The Provost may grant a short-term partial leave of absence, one or two semesters at proportionate pay for part-time service, to any full-time faculty member. Faculty anticipating a leave without pay should consult the Benefits Office for information about the effect of the leave on their fringe benefits. Part-time service to accommodate family responsibilities or as a transition to retirement is provided for in Chapters 9 and 10. Tenured and tenure-track faculty are otherwise on full-time appointments, see Section 4-B (1) of Chapter 4, unless extraordinary permission is granted by the Provost.

3-F BENEFITS

The University of Southern California provides extensive benefit programs to benefits-eligible faculty. In addition to benefits required by law, those programs currently include health insurance, dental insurance, retirement benefits, child care, tuition assistance for the faculty member and his or her eligible spouse and dependent children, tuition exchange for eligible faculty children, life insurance and various other benefits.

The particular provisions of each of the above benefit programs are determined by the USC Senior Vice President, Administration after consultation with faculty through the Benefits Committee and the Senate Committee on the Faculty Environment. Current provisions are available at the benefits website, http://benefits.usc.edu.

3-G PERSONAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Having a family or other intimate relationship to a current member of the faculty or staff shall not be a bar to equal opportunity in employment or education for anyone.

At the same time, any faculty member in such a relationship should take all reasonable steps to avoid a conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest in his or her USC work that could arise from the relationship. In particular, a faculty member shall not participate in any decision (such as decisions concerning initial appointment, retention, promotion, salary, or leave of absence of a member of the faculty or staff, or decisions concerning the admission, grading or academic advancement of a student) that involves either a direct benefit or detriment to a person with whom he or she has an intimate or family relationship. Faculty members shall not supervise or evaluate the work of a USC employee or student with whom they have such a relationship. A principal investigator shall not permit a person with whom he or she has an intimate or family relationship to be paid from the funds of a grant or contract supervised by the principal investigator.

In an unusual situation that would otherwise call for a faculty member to supervise or evaluate the work of someone with whom he or she has such a relationship, special arrangements are required to avoid the possibility of
favoritism, such as having an unrelated third party supervise or evaluate the work. The Provost's written permission is also required. (See also Sections 6-I, Consensual Relationships, and 6-D, Procedures for Complaints, of Chapter 6.)

To protect privacy, faculty members who recuse themselves from such decisions or supervisory responsibilities are not required to state reasons.

If a faculty member is in doubt concerning the possibility of a conflict of interest, it is recommended that the faculty member consult the department chair or dean. A department chair or dean who has similar doubts should initiate discussion with the faculty member; and any other faculty member with such concerns should raise them with the chair or dean, who should take appropriate steps. Advice in these situations should be sought from the Vice Provost for Faculty Affairs and the President of the Faculty. Confidentiality shall be protected to the fullest extent possible.

3-H   POLITICAL PARTICIPATION

As citizens, the faculty members of the University have the same rights and responsibilities of free speech, thought, and action as all American citizens. Their position, however, imposes special obligations, such as emphasizing that they are not institutional representatives, and exercising appropriate restraint.

For the protection of both faculty members and the University, faculty members campaigning as political candidates for state or federal offices will do so on their own time. For the period of such candidacy, it is required that they obtain personal leave of absence under Section 3-E (8) or continue work at the University on a part-time basis under Section 3-E (10). Such leave of absence is not a sabbatical leave and, if full-time, is also without pay.

Leave of absence is not required of faculty members who become candidates for or are elected to offices of a temporary or part-time nature, such as a membership on a municipal charter revision commission, delegate to the State Constitutional Convention, a member of a local school board, or a holder of municipal office.

This policy is intended to safeguard the freedom of speech, thought, and action of faculty, and to avoid impairment of the significant contributions they are capable of making toward improved local, state, and federal government.

3-I   OUTSIDE ACTIVITIES OF FACULTY MEMBERS

The University of Southern California encourages faculty involvement in outside consulting, research, and professional activities, as well as community service. However, since the primary responsibility of a full-time faculty member is to the University, limits on outside activities must be set. Outside research, consultation, educational work and relationships with industry shall be in accord
with the relevant University policies as well as the general policies on conflict of interest and conflict of commitment. Within the limits specified, such activities provide an opportunity for the professional development of the faculty member and the enhancement of the University’s impact beyond the classroom and laboratory. However, the facilities and services of the University may not be used in connection with compensated outside effort except to a purely incidental extent, or for appropriate University-determined compensation and in accord with University regulations.

3-I (1)  Conflict of Interest

(a) Each full-time faculty member owes primary professional responsibility to the University and shall be alert to the possibility that outside obligations, employment, and financial and professional interests, including those of their close family members or those of anyone with whom they have intimate relationships, can affect their objectivity, decision-making, as well as other responsibilities to the University.

(b) To assist faculty members in understanding possible conflicts of interest or other problems that may develop, and to alert the individual, the department chair, and the University to potential conflict of interest, policies are posted on the University Policies website, http://policy.usc.edu.

If in doubt concerning the possibility of conflict arising from a consulting or other remunerative activity, the faculty member should consult the department chair or dean. A department chair or dean having similar doubts should initiate discussion with that faculty member.

The Office of Compliance serves as a resource for information about government and University policies and regulations regarding conflict of interest. (See also policies posted on the University Policies website, http://policy.usc.edu). This office bears responsibility to collect this information and disseminate it to the deans of the schools and colleges of the University and to other administrative personnel. Thus, faculty members who report to them and who are working on government-sponsored activities are kept abreast of policies and regulations touching upon conflict of interest.

3-I (2)  Absences and Similar Conflicts of Commitment

(a) Faculty members have a binding obligation to discharge instructional and other regular duties, and performance of these duties may be impaired by any other activity requiring a large portion of time. It is important that students receive the instruction the curriculum envisages and almost always from the instructor of record.

(b) Faculty members who belong to professional associations and societies or who serve on scholarly or advisory bodies in their field of expertise will necessarily be absent from campus on occasion, but such absences are subject
to the review of the department chair, division head, or dean on how the
individual's instructional and other responsibilities will be met. There may be
other good reasons, acceptable to the chair or dean, that justify brief absences
from the faculty member's usual obligations.

(c) For brief absences, faculty members shall make appropriate arrangements
subject to the review of the chair or dean as requested and according to
University and school policies, so that absences interfere only minimally with
their normal teaching and other responsibilities. (See also policies posted on the
University Policies website, http://policy.usc.edu). For lengthy or frequent
periods of absence, however, a faculty member must seek an approved leave
(statutory Family Care and Medical Leave, medical, parental, or personal leave
of absence) and may qualify for disability benefits. Neglect of duty, in
accordance with Section 8-C of Chapter 8, may occur if there is excessively
lengthy or frequent absence due to illness or other reason, if taken without
obtaining an approved leave.

(d) The Provost may place on leave without pay faculty members who are
absent for more than two consecutive weeks without an approved leave,
vacation, or permission from the dean or the dean's designee.

3-I (3) Outside Consulting

Many faculty have the opportunity to receive recognition and remuneration
through consultation beyond their University employment. The nature of the
consulting work should be evaluated in terms of its worth as a scholarly
experience and should in no way detract from the prestige of the University or
the professional stature of the faculty member. (This is not intended to interfere
with general personal or nonprofessional activities of the faculty member.)

The University obligations of faculty members are complex and the degree to
which obligations are met cannot easily be ascertained by time records or
publications lists. As a result, the University has established limits on the
amount of time that normally can be devoted to outside consulting work.
A full-time faculty member may not consult more than one day per week on
average during the contract period of service.

In rare instances circumstances may warrant an exception to this policy.
Requests should be made prior to the period during which consulting will take
place. Approval must be secured from the Provost.

In addition to external consultation, a faculty member may engage in clinical
practice for a University-approved faculty practice plan corporation, within the
terms of the appropriate school or departmental practice plan and as approved
by the dean. Full-term status for purposes of benefits, governance, and
applicability of University policies may be extended to such faculty even though
the extent of their practice with the practice plan corporation means that the
professional services provided directly to the University are less than full-time.
It should always be borne in mind that consulting is a conditional privilege granted by the University. As such, the University is the final judge of the appropriateness of the outside activity and whether it unduly compromises the faculty member's primary responsibilities implicit in his or her contract for full-time employment with the University.

3-I (4) Outside Educational Work

Faculty members occasionally have the opportunity to receive recognition and remuneration through activity as an educator with outside institutions while holding a USC faculty appointment. Any faculty member, full- or part-time, may be an educator at another institution or enterprise upon the written prior approval of the Vice Provost for Academic and Faculty Affairs.

3-I (4)(a) Process

Faculty should submit a request for advance approval, for work at another college or university, or instruction or course creation for other outside enterprises. If the individual making the request has a department chair, the request should be submitted through the chair; it then goes to the dean; and the request and evaluation of the request by the chair and dean then go to the Vice Provost for decision. Faculty may seek advice in these situations from the Vice Provost for Academic and Faculty Affairs and the President of the Faculty. Department Chairs and Deans can make recommendations, but the decision for approval lies with the Vice Provost for Academic and Faculty Affairs. Faculty may appeal the Vice Provost's decision to the Provost.

3-I (4)(b) Criteria

The standard to be applied is whether the outside work may be inconsistent with a faculty member's responsibilities to USC, create a conflict or appearance of conflict with any USC program, dilute USC's academic stature, detract from the prestige of the University or the professional stature of the faculty member or unduly impinge on the faculty member's primary responsibilities with the university.

Examples of situations typically approved are: (1) responding to a request from a peer institution, after identifying the special or emergency needs that occasion their request, and (2) outside teaching where the added value of the corresponding USC offering is clear, and the work does not impinge on the faculty member's responsibilities with the University. Some examples typically disapproved are: (1) outside teaching that largely duplicate a course in the USC curriculum, whether or not currently taught or taught by the individual making the request, (2) outside work that dilutes USC's academic stature, and (3) outside work that interferes with the individual's USC responsibilities.
Activities such as lectures at professional societies, occasional guest lectures, or community activities, that are pro bono or awarded an honorarium, generally do not require approval under this section.

Schools shall keep the Vice Provost informed in a timely fashion concerning any concurrent activity as an educator to be done by a faculty member of their unit at other institutions.

3-I (4)(c) Dual Tenure

Faculty may not hold tenure simultaneously at two institutions except with approval of the President.

3-I (5) Endorsements

Any action of a faculty member that might seem to commit the University to an endorsement (e.g., of a business, private practice, product or service, or political position) should be avoided unless expressly authorized in advance by the Provost. A faculty member may state his or her academic position for identification in the media, or as part of a biographical note.

3-J CANDIDACY FOR DEGREES

Faculty members shall not be candidates for degrees in the same department or program in which they have an appointment. In addition, assistant professors on the tenure track should not simultaneously be candidates for degrees anywhere. Individual exceptions may be made only with the approval of the Provost or of a special committee appointed by the President.
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4-A AUTHORITY

The University Bylaws provide in Section 6.4 (d) that

*The President of the University shall have full power of appointment, direction, and supervision of the faculties and the organization thereof.*

The Provost may make appointments and promotions at any rank. The Provost is the only official delegated by the President with the authority to grant tenure, make appointments of associate professors and professors on the tenure-track, or award special designations and continuing appointments. The President may delegate to the deans authority to make other appointments and procedures for those appointments may be abbreviated from the appointment procedures used for senior tenured appointments by agreement between the academic units and the Provost.

4-B FACULTY DESIGNATIONS AND ORGANIZATION

4-B (1) Titles and Modifiers

From time to time updates to the list of Academic Titles Currently in Use, Section 4-B (2), are approved by the Provost. For updates to this list, the Provost will seek the advice of the Academic Senate Executive Board. See Section 4-C (2).

Individual schools may, with Provost's permission, use titles from this list; special approval from the Provost, based on unusual circumstances, must be obtained to use a title outside the specifications of this list. When a faculty member has an administrative assignment the dean or Provost may add an administrative title in addition to the faculty title.

4-B (2) Academic Titles Currently in Use

4-B (2)(a) Tenured And Tenure-Track

INSTRUCTOR IN <DISCIPLINE>¹
Entry-level position, generally for those who have not yet completed their terminal degree.

ASSISTANT PROFESSOR OF <DISCIPLINE>
Entry-level position, generally for those who have completed their terminal degree.

¹ Wherever "Discipline" is shown in this list it includes, at the school's option as its faculty deem appropriate, discipline, inter-disciplinary area, department, or school.
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF \textit{<DISCIPLINE>}
Senior faculty rank.

PROFESSOR OF \textit{<DISCIPLINE>}.
Highest faculty rank

\textbf{4-B (2)(b) Librarians}

Librarian, Assistant University Librarian, Associate University Librarian, University Librarian are faculty titles corresponding to the ranks of Instructor, Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, and Professors, respectively. Associate University Librarians and University Librarians are eligible for continuing appointment.

Health Sciences Librarians use the faculty titles Librarian I-IV. Librarians III-IV are eligible for continuing appointment. In the Law School, Law Librarian I-IV are the faculty titles used for all librarians. Law Librarian III-IV are eligible for continuing appointment.

Contract Librarian and Senior Contract Librarian are the faculty titles used for professional librarians on contract status who are not eligible for continuing appointment.

\textbf{4-B (2)(c) Clinical Scholar And Similar Titles}

For individuals who have gained high scholarly or artistic distinction in their fields, primarily engaged in clinical, creative, or professional practice, teaching or research, but whose effort profile or type of research or creativity differs from that of tenured faculty. It is a high honor that may be awarded by the President of the University on evidence of leadership and impact in the field after recommendation by a school committee and dean, and the University Committee on Appointments, Promotions and Tenure. It is equal in status and dignity with tenure though without the employment guarantees of tenure. It is differentiated from the clinical titles mentioned below.

Professor of \textit{<discipline>} (Clinical Scholar) - may be listed publicly as Professor of \textit{<discipline>}; Professor of \textit{<discipline>} (Clinical Educator); Artist in Residence; in the law school, Professor of Law.

\textbf{4-B (2)(d) Full-Time Teaching-Track, Research-Track, Practitioner-Track, And Clinical-Track Faculty}

\textbf{LECTURERS}

For individuals engaged primarily in teaching USC credit courses and teaching-related duties, with reduced obligation for scholarship: Lecturer, Senior

---

2 Whenever "Professor" is shown subsequently in this list, it includes the options of Instructor, Assistant Professor, and Associate Professor.
Lecturer, Master Lecturer; Clinical Professor of *discipline*; Professor (Teaching) of *discipline*; Professor of Clinical *discipline*.

**RESEARCHERS**
For individuals engaged primarily in conducting research, usually on external funding; may engage only in limited teaching and only as an ancillary responsibility: Research Professor of *discipline*; Professor (Research) of *discipline*; in the medical school, Professor of Research *discipline*;

**PRACTITIONERS**
For individuals who have demonstrated excellence and effectiveness in the public practice of a field of expertise, and who are engaged primarily either in clinical practice, teaching or research, with reduced expectation in the other areas: Lecturer; Practitioner of *discipline*; Professor of *discipline* Practice; in the medical school, Clinical Professor of *discipline*

**CLINICIANS**
For individuals primarily engaged in clinical practice, clinical or skills teaching, and clinical research, who demonstrate excellence in one of these areas and strengths in the other two, but who do not have the same scholarly obligations as tenure-track faculty: Professor of Clinical *discipline*; in the law school, Clinical Professor of Law;

**MATHEMATICS**
As an exception, the title Assistant Professor of Mathematics may be used for a research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, and clinical-track appointment in mathematics awarded to faculty who have recently obtained their degrees and who are involved in research and teaching with reduced obligation for university service. Such appointments may be made, based on careful academic review, up to three years, with no possibility of renewal thereafter.

**4-B (2)(e) Visiting Faculty**
For individuals on temporary appointment who are: (a) on leave from another university or college; (b) on leave from industry, government, an artistic career, or the professions; or (c) citizens of another country limited by their visas to temporary service, and who also satisfy either clause (a) or clause (b). Not appropriate for other faculty who are hired on a short term or temporary basis. Appointed for up to one year. May be renewed for up to a second year by permission of the Provost. If a visiting faculty member receives a tenure-track faculty appointment, the period of the visit is counted as part of the probationary period, unless the President decides otherwise after advice of the Committee on Probationary Deadlines.

---

3 Whenever “Lecturer” is shown subsequently in this list, it includes the options of Senior Lecturer and Master Lecturer.
4-B (2)(f) Part-Time Teaching-Track, Research-Track, Practitioner-Track and Clinical-Track Faculty

For individuals with part-time appointments, without tenure and not subject to the up-or-out rules for tenure: (a) Lecturer (part time); (b) for those whose USC faculty role is adjunct to a primary position or career, Adjunct Lecturer, Adjunct Professor of <discipline>; in the medical school, Adjunct Professor of Clinical <discipline>.

4-B (2)(g) Voluntary Faculty

For individuals who volunteer their services: Adjunct Professor of <discipline>; in the medical school, Adjunct Clinical Professor of <discipline>.

4-B (2)(h) Academic Staff

Whatever their title, individuals in the academic staff categories listed below have staff (or student or post-doctoral) status and are not designated as faculty.

- For individuals of special expertise, renown or promise, or who teach specialized subject matter, who teach or do research on a temporary or long-term basis and who are not members of the faculty:

Research Scientist
Artist in Residence, Executive in Residence, Scholar in Residence, Writer in Residence, or similar titles;

Postdoctoral Scholar, Postdoctoral Fellow, Postdoctoral Research Associate, Postdoctoral Teaching Fellow;

Visiting Scholar, Visiting Critic, or similar titles.

Senior Fellow.

- For assistants and associates: Teaching, Laboratory, and Research Assistants or Associates, and Assistant Lecturers

- For those who teach only in continuing education or other programs that do not carry USC credit toward a degree: Teacher

- For full-time staff employees approved to teach a course: Part-time Lecturer, or Adjunct Professor (at appropriate rank)

Other non-faculty title as appropriate

In general, the Provost may approve other titles as appropriate. With approval of the Provost, the modifier Distinguished may be added to any of these titles.
4-B (2)(i) Special Designations

See Section 4-B (3)(d).

4-B (2)(j) Emeriti

See Section 10-A of Chapter 10.

4-B (3) Policies

4-B (3)(a) Full-time and Part-time Appointments

Tenured faculty and tenure-track faculty, and librarians on continuing appointment or in positions leading to consideration for continuing appointment, are appointed on a full-time basis. Other faculty may be appointed on a full-time or part-time basis.

4-B (3)(b) Tenure-Track Faculty

Tenure-track faculty are those faculty on appointments that lead to consideration for promotion to tenure under the up-or-out rules specified in Section 4-F of Chapter 4.

4-B (3)(c) Academic Staff

Academic staff titles are set out in Section 4-B (2)(h), and those in the categories listed there have staff (or student or postdoctoral) status and are not designated as faculty. Such titles are conferred by the dean or Vice President of an academic unit, who will issue to the individual a letter stating title and responsibilities, and will inform the Provost of the conferring of this designation and its duration. These individuals have privileges for the use of the libraries and other research facilities, eligibility for parking, bookstore privileges, and office space as available.

4-B (3)(d) Special Designations

The following special designations that modify faculty titles may be used. Appointments are made by the President. For positions within a school, the appointment will follow a review of qualifications and recommendation by the dean and an appropriate school faculty committee. For positions that transcend any one school, the appointment will follow a review of qualifications and recommendation by the Provost and an appropriate university-wide faculty committee, such as a panel of the University Committee on Appointments, Promotions and Tenure or a committee of University Professors and Distinguished Professors.

DISTINGUISHED PROFESSOR
Awarded on a very selective basis to those few professors in the University who have brought special renown to the University through their accomplishments

UNIVERSITY PROFESSOR
Awarded to a small number of professors who, because of their multi-disciplinary interests and significant accomplishments in several disciplines, qualify for an appointment that transcends any single field of study. Generally, a faculty member accorded this title also has a tenured faculty appointment in a specific academic unit.

NAMED PROFESSOR OR CHAIR
Awarded to a distinguished faculty member in a specific discipline or interdisciplinary area who is supported wholly or in part by funds given for this purpose. Named positions are occasionally established by the University on an honorary basis, without funding. This designation is generally made on a one-year or other short-term basis, subject to renewal depending on the practice and customs of the school in which the appointment is made and subject to University policy and the terms of the President’s approval of the appointment.

CLINICAL SCHOLAR
See the list of Academic Titles Currently in Use, Section 4-B (2)(c).

IN RESIDENCE
The following titles and similar ones are appropriate for faculty members with special expertise or renown:

- Artist in Residence
- Executive in Residence
- Scholar in Residence
- Writer in Residence

4-B (4) Maintaining the Tenure System

(a) The tenure system is the principal form of faculty appointment, and tenured or tenure-track appointments are preferred whenever feasible. Research-track and clinical-track full time faculty are invaluable to help perform the research and clinical practice missions of the University, and teaching-track and practitioner-track faculty may be invaluable for our teaching mission when it is academically desirable to make such appointments, for example to bring a practical orientation or to teach skills. Part-time appointments are generally to be avoided, but are appropriate for individuals who are asked to teach a course because of special expertise and who have another full-time position or career. Any exceptions require special approval by the Provost. A part-time visiting appointment of an individual who holds a full-time appointment at a peer institution (AAU) may be made by a dean to meet one-time emergency needs for up to one year, without special provost’s approval but observing other required processes.
(b) An individual holding a tenure-track faculty appointment must, by the end of the probationary period, either be granted tenure or be given a terminal appointment; such an individual cannot be retained or rehired on a non-tenured, full-time basis past the maximum probationary period except under extraordinary circumstances and with the approval of the Provost, including use of a research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, and clinical-track faculty title.

(c) When there is a proposal to appoint an individual to a research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track, position who previously had tenure-track faculty status, the proposal will be submitted for the Provost's approval. Documentation must be submitted to show that the individual actually will have the work profile of the appropriate track and that the proposal is appropriate within the tenure system. It would be particularly unusual to approve such a shift in the year leading up to the Tenure Decision Date.

(d) Each school policy shall maintain a limit for the maximum number of teaching-track faculty appointments, as a percentage of tenured and tenure-track faculty appointments, so as to preserve the tenure system as the principal form of faculty appointment.

(e) Periodically during the continuing appointment of a research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track, faculty member, full-time or part-time, at three-to-five-year intervals as stated in approved school guidelines, the individual’s performance should receive careful review by an appropriate faculty committee as to whether promotion is appropriate and whether reappointment is appropriate.

4-C APPOINTMENTS

4-C (1) Appointments to Tenure and Tenure Track

Full-time tenure-track and tenured faculty appointments are for service during the academic or fiscal year and are made on the bases described below.

Instructor and Assistant Professor.
Appointed on an annual basis with the possibility of renewal. A tenure decision shall be made in accord with the schedule described in established policy (see Section 4-D).

Associate Professor.
Generally comes with tenure, but if appointed without tenure, a decision on tenure shall be made in accord with the schedule described in established policy (see Section 4-D).

Professor.
Generally comes with tenure, but if appointed without tenure, a decision on tenure shall be made in accord with the schedule described in established policy (see Section 4-D).

Faculty members in their probationary periods are appointed for specific terms, in accordance with the customs of their academic units, of one to three years. The University is under no obligation to reappoint an untenured faculty member or to renew the appointment for the full probationary period (see Section 4-F (3)).

4-C (2) **Appointments to Research-Track, Teaching-Track, Practitioner-Track, or Clinical-Track**

(a) Full-time research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track, faculty appointments are made in accordance with University policy and school-specific guidelines. All initial appointments of such faculty must be approved by the dean or Provost. Every school is required to maintain a written statement of guidelines on such faculty. See Section 4-G.

(b) Full-time research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track, faculty are treated equally with tenured and tenure-track faculty with respect to all fringe benefits as defined by the established faculty policies. However, Visiting Faculty are not generally eligible for retirement benefits. Schools may also extend eligibility for sabbatical leaves for research or other scholarly activity (consistent with the candidate’s expected faculty profile) or other perquisites of tenured or tenure-track faculty on a categorical basis, or may provide for such extension on the basis of individual negotiation.

(c) Each school must have active participation by research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, and clinical-track, faculty members in faculty governance, including on faculty guidelines for those tracks, but not including matters concerning tenure and the tenure system. Part-time faculty are eligible to be elected or appointed to faculty governance bodies. The Academic Senate Constitution has provisions concerning participation in faculty governance by research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, and clinical-track, faculty (Article I, Sections 2 and 3).

4-C (3) **Joint Appointments**

A joint appointment between two schools or divisions of the University may be tendered if the faculty member will teach or conduct research in both schools. A tenured appointment may be made, however, in only one school. Promotion to a higher rank for faculty holding joint appointments should be initiated by the school of primary appointment.
4-D LENGTH OF APPOINTMENT

4-D (1) Probationary Period for Tenure-Track Faculty

The Recommendation for Appointment Form requests specific information as to the number of years of continuous full-time service at other institutions in a faculty position where the individual is expected to do the scholarly or creative work we expect of a candidate for tenure. Such service at another institution shall count towards the faculty member's maximum probationary period. With three or more years of prior probationary service, the maximum probationary period shall be three years shorter.

4-D (1)(a) Tenure Decision Date

The Recommendation for Appointment Form, when approved by the Provost, also states the date before which a decision on tenure must be made at the University of Southern California and communicated to the tenure-track faculty member. This date, called the Tenure Decision Date, is 12 months before the expiration of the stated probationary period. The letter of appointment for each new faculty member must specify the Tenure Decision Date, which will be determined by the length of the school-specific maximum probationary period and any credit accorded for prior service. The letter should also explain the school-specific relationship (if any, see Section 4-F (2)(c)) between the decision on promotion and the decision on tenure. A signed copy of the faculty member's acceptance must be filed with the Provost.

4-D (1)(b) Annual Review

A new faculty member, like those already employed by the University, should be assured that his or her record shall be reviewed annually by his or her academic unit according to known and established procedures. There should be a particularly thorough review midway in the probationary period, normally in the third year. The University is under no obligation to reappoint an untenured faculty member or to renew the appointment for the full probationary period (see Section 4-F (3)).

4-D (1)(c) Tenure at Appointment

In unusual instances, an outstanding new faculty member may be recommended for tenure or promotion during or at the end of his or her first year. In this case the original dossier may be resubmitted with clear evidence of continued achievement and collegial activity. Occasionally, an outstanding faculty member, such as one who already holds tenure at a peer institution, is accorded tenure at the time of appointment to the University of Southern California, upon the recommendation of the established committees and the dean and approval by the President.

4-D (1)(d) Midyear Appointments
If a tenure-track faculty member initially commences full-time academic duties on or after December 1, the remainder of that academic or fiscal year shall not be included in calculating the maximum length of the probationary period.

4-D (1.5) Committee on Deadlines and Leaves

4-D (1.5)(a) Probationary Deadlines

Unresolved questions concerning what prior service must or must not be counted, and other matters pertaining to the length of the probationary period, shall be directed to the Committee on Deadlines and Leaves, for its review and recommendations to the President. This review may take place before the appointment is accepted, or on request thereafter.

Section 3-E of Chapter 3, Leaves of Absence, and Chapter 9, Academic and Family Life Balance, provide for excluding a certain amount of time in rank from the normal probationary period ("stopping the clock") which has the effect of adjusting the Tenure Decision Date. The Committee also gives its review and recommendations on requests to change a probationary deadline based on special circumstances.

The annual letter of reappointment or contract for each tenure-track faculty member shall reflect any adjustments to the Tenure Decision Date approved during the prior year. In the event of emergency circumstances severely disrupting the University’s regular operation, the Provost may declare the tenure clock stopped for an appropriate period, and extend deadlines correspondingly.

4-D (1.5)(b) Research-Track, Teaching-Track, Practitioner-Track, and Clinical-Track, Promotion Deadlines

If an approved school guideline establishes an up-or-out deadline for research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track promotion, the faculty member may request “stopping the clock” under the same principles as apply for the tenure-track probationary period. See Section 4-D (1.5)(a). If the faculty member does not accept a decision by the dean on stopping the clock, the Committee on Deadlines and Leaves gives its review and recommendations to the Provost. In such cases, the Committee will include research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track faculty of the rank of associate professor or above, as well as tenured faculty.

4-D (1.5)(c) Leaves

If there is an appeal as to the grant or denial of a sabbatical or other research leave, the Committee on Deadlines and Leaves gives its review and recommendations to the Provost. If the case involves a research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track faculty member, the Committee will include research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or
clinical-track faculty of the rank of associate professor or above, as well as tenured faculty.

4-D (2) Term of Employment for Research-Track, Teaching-Track, Practitioner-Track, and Clinical-Track Faculty

Research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, and clinical-track faculty are appointed to fixed-term contracts that must specify the starting and ending dates of the appointment and any specific duties of the appointment beyond the responsibilities stated in Section 3-B of Chapter 3, and school guidelines. The date on which each appointment ends shall be specified in the appointment letter and any subsequent reappointment letter. That letter also constitutes adequate notice of non-reappointment, and the appointment will expire at the end of its term, unless there is a written renewal.

Research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track faculty appointments are not subject to the up-or-out rules of Section 4-F of Chapter 4 and do not lead to consideration for promotion to tenure. A signed copy of the research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, and clinical-track faculty member’s acceptance must be filed with the dean prior to commencement of employment.

However, optionally for those with annual contracts, the contract may state that it will roll over on the same terms unless there is a decision not to reappoint, with 90 days’ notice or pay to the extent notice is less than 90 days. See section 4-G (2)(12) concerning the process for the decision.

4-E CONDITIONS OF TENURE

Tenure confers on its bearer the right to hold his or her position with pay until retirement. Only for adequate cause and through stipulated procedures may the faculty member be dismissed, demoted, or placed on indefinite leave without pay from that position.

Tenure and promotion are separate processes. Tenure should be considered on an individual basis and should be fully justified.

The President is empowered by the Board of Trustees to take action relating to appointments, promotions, demotions, dismissals, and assignments to indefinite leave, of all personnel holding tenured positions as defined herein. In such actions the President is advised by the Provost and the appropriate University committee, and may delegate his or her authority to the Provost.

Tenured faculty shall not be dismissed or demoted except for adequate cause as defined in Chapter 8, Faculty Dismissals.

Tenured or untenured faculty may be urged to apply for disability status in the event of physical or mental incapacity. The Provost may place tenured or
untenured faculty on Special Leave of Absence upon proof of severe physical or mental incapacity. The intention is, whenever reasonable, that tenured or untenured faculty be returned from disability to active status. If the tenured or untenured faculty member does not return to active service after one year’s absence, the Provost may terminate his or her appointment without formal proceedings. In such cases financial benefits that have accrued as a result of service to the University shall not be forfeited.

Tenured faculty may be placed on indefinite leave without pay if reduction in faculty becomes necessary under extraordinary circumstances because of demonstrated financial exigency. Financial benefits accrued through their University service shall not be forfeited.

4-F EVALUATION, REAPPOINTMENT, AND PROMOTION OF TENURE-TRACK AND TENURED FACULTY
4-F (1)  The Dossier

The dossier should present the evidence gathered by both internal and external peer review of a candidate’s fitness for tenure, or for appointment or promotion as associate or full professor. It should include candid, balanced and accurate assessment of the evidence by faculty departmental and school committees, department chair and dean, and external evaluators. Both internal and external evaluations shall be kept confidential to the full extent allowed by law, and shall be read only by the appointment, promotion and tenure committees, dean’s office, and Provost’s office. Candidates for promotion and tenure should supplement their curriculum vitae with a personal statement outlining their accomplishments and goals in teaching and research and candidates for appointment from outside the University should do so whenever feasible. The teaching record should be accompanied by evaluations of classroom instruction and all other pedagogic activity. External letters of evaluation should be solicited from leading experts in the field chosen for their competence to judge the candidate’s academic and, where appropriate, professional qualifications (to be documented by inclusion in the dossier of biographical information); wherever possible, such experts should be sought at peer universities, but it is recognized that they may be found elsewhere as well. Although some of the reviewers may be selected from a list of names provided by the candidate, most should neither be from that list nor have a close personal or professional relation with the candidate. The dossier must include all letters of evaluation received, as well as information on all those asked to give an evaluation who did not do so. The dossier also contains representative samples of the candidate’s scholarship or professional performance and his or her service record.

4-F (2)  Evaluation Process

4-F (2)(a)  Up or Out Decisions

Careful evaluation of each faculty member shall be made at the time of consideration of grant of tenure, and at the time of consideration of promotion to associate professor whether those decisions are simultaneous or not. If those evaluations are positive, the faculty member shall receive a contract from the President stating the new status; if either decision is negative, the faculty member shall receive timely notice, as specified in Section 4-F (3), that his or her contract of employment with the University will not be renewed.

4-F (2)(b)  Peer Review

The principles of academic freedom and tenure, which are firmly established in this and all other major American universities, rely on an established probationary period during which excellence in teaching and scholarly research can be demonstrated by faculty. An established probationary period only has significance if there is a definite time at which the probationary period ends.
A university is as strong as its faculty. A principle especially important to achieving a strong faculty is that the quality of a faculty member's work not be assessed by the potentially capricious judgment of any single person, but rather by a searching review by the faculty member's colleagues. This principle is given form by making sure that each faculty member is provided a Tenure Decision Date when this process of review must be completed, and ensuring that the President, as is required by his or her accountability to the Board of Trustees for maintaining the long-range quality of the institution, makes a final decision on the award of tenure based on this full and careful process of peer review by colleagues.

4-F (2)(c) Alternative Tenure Timelines

- **Traditional timeline.** For faculty initially appointed as assistant professor, there shall be a formal review for promotion to associate professor and the grant of tenure by the sixth year of appointment, unless school-specific guidelines approved as provided in Section 4-F (2)(d) provide a different period as explained below. (Individual adjustments in the timeline for promotion to associate professor, the grant of tenure, or both decisions, may be made by the Provost after advice by the Committee on Probationary Deadlines, see Section 4-D (1)(f), or in unusual cases after advice by the University Committee on Appointment, Promotion and Tenure.)

- **Extended timeline.** An approved school-specific guideline may provide for a longer timeline for formal review for promotion to associate professor and grant of tenure. (The longer timeline may be school-wide or for designated disciplines.) For example, there may be an eight year probationary period instead of the traditional seven years.

- **Highly extended timeline with tenure at full professor.** An approved school-specific guideline may provide for formal review for promotion to associate professor without tenure by the sixth year of appointment, followed by formal review for the grant of tenure, (generally simultaneous with promotion to professor) by the end of the school-specific probationary period. The maximum school-specific probationary period is twelve years. Both the decision on promotion to associate professor, and the decision on grant of tenure, are up-or-out decisions. See Section 4-F (3).

- **Compressed timeline with tenure at full professor.** An approved school-specific guideline may provide for formal review for promotion to associate professor without tenure midway within the traditional probationary period, followed by formal review for the grant of tenure (generally simultaneous with promotion to professor) by the end of the traditional probationary period. Both the decision on promotion to associate professor, and the decision on grant of tenure, are up-or-out decisions. See Section 4-F (3).
4-F (2)(d)  Process for Approving School-Specific Timelines

Each change in school-specific guidelines is subject to approval by the Provost on the recommendation of the dean. Such a recommendation shall be made only if endorsed by the tenured and tenure-track faculty of the school, through a vote held by secret ballot. Unless a school specifically requests a change, the school’s pre-existing policy shall remain in effect.

A faculty member holding a tenure-track (probationary) appointment at the time such a policy change comes into force will be considered under the policy in effect at the time of his or her initial appointment, unless the faculty member requests that the new policy apply and the Provost approves the request. Approval of such a request will not imply any modification in either rank or tenure status; no one shall be granted tenure or a promotion without a full review.

4-F (2)(e)  No De Facto Tenure

To ensure that a tenure decision is made following proper faculty review, every effort must be made to bring each case to the attention of the appropriate faculty reviewing bodies.

If formal review of a faculty member for promotion to associate professor has not been initiated by the beginning of the specified year as described above, or if formal tenure review has not been initiated by nine months before the Tenure Decision Date, or if any contractual timeline on promotion or tenure is missed, it is the duty of the faculty member to bring this to the attention of the Provost by written notice. The Provost shall then notify the appropriate school to commence the review procedure. There is no such thing as de facto tenure or tenure by default.

4-F (3)  Non-Reappointment for Full-Time Probationary (Tenure-Track) Faculty

In the case of non-reappointment of a tenure-track faculty member, the faculty member shall receive notice of non-reappointment in writing as follows: (1) not later than February 15 of the first academic year of service; (2) not later than November 15 of the second academic year of service; (3) at least twelve months before the expiration of an appointment after two or more years at the University.

If the result of the promotion review to associate professor is to deny promotion to the faculty member, or if the result of the tenure review is to deny tenure, the faculty member shall be offered a terminal year of employment beyond expiration of the annual contract in place at the time of the decision and at the rank he or she held prior to review.
In the case of non-reappointment or terminal year notice, during this period the faculty member will continue to receive his or her full salary and benefits, but the faculty member’s responsibilities may be altered by mutual agreement between the faculty member and the University. Alternatively, the Provost may authorize early termination of the faculty member’s performance of duties; in such a case, the faculty member will receive compensation equivalent to salary for the notice period.

Faculty appointments expire at the end of the period of service as specified in Section 3-B (5) of Chapter 3, unless a different date is specified in the appointment letter or any subsequent reappointment letter.

4-G EVALUATION, REAPPOINTMENT AND PROMOTION OF RESEARCH-TRACK, TEACHING-TRACK, PRACTITIONER-TRACK, AND CLINICAL-TRACK FACULTY

(1) The University has no obligation to renew a research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track faculty appointment.

(2) University policies, and school-specific guidelines approved by the Provost under this subsection, address the question of review and recommendation procedures for appointment, reappointment or mid-contract termination of research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track faculty.

Procedures for appointment, reappointment and promotion shall provide thoroughness and documentation sufficient for evaluation of the suitability and qualifications of the candidate, and review and recommendation by an appropriate faculty body. Therefore, decisions on appointment, reappointment and promotion of faculty on full-time appointments shall only be done after review and recommendation by an appropriate faculty body. In addition, the adequacy of the process in each instance of non-reappointment will be reviewed by the Provost’s delegate. Procedures for reappointment and promotion do not apply to individuals on non-renewable contracts. Procedures for part-time faculty may be abbreviated with permission of the Provost.

Proposed guidelines of a school research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track are formulated by the Dean and faculty, acting through the faculty council or another appropriate faculty committee or, in some smaller units, the entire faculty. The proposed guidelines will be submitted for approval by the Provost and do not take effect until approved.

For each proposed set of guidelines submitted to the Provost, the Provost will seek the advice of the Academic Senate Executive Board on whether (a) the procedure used in formulating and endorsing the guidelines provided adequate means for the faculty to fulfill its responsibility for matters of faculty academic status; (b) the content of the proposed school guidelines is consistent with the Faculty Handbook and other University policy; and (c) the proposed guidelines have no adverse University-wide implications.
Contracts of research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track faculty may be terminated earlier than their stipulated end, with a minimum of 90 days' notice, if there is a bona fide need to do so (a) based on cutbacks in external sources of funding for the specific activity, or (b) based on substantial program change or departmental reorganization or substantial resource limitations in the school, or (c) based upon poor performance or adequate cause, in which case the Dean must consult first with the appropriate faculty committee. Adequate cause for a termination shall be one or more of the following: violations of academic freedom, misconduct, dishonesty, unmanaged or unreported conflict of interest, or moral turpitude. Dismissals for cause must be in accord with procedures specified in Chapter 8. Mid-contract terminations for poor performance or adequate cause should not be confused with dismissals for cause; termination is based on a failure to meet expectations that is less egregious and that does not entail the same opprobrium as dismissal.

During any notice period the faculty member will continue to receive his or her full salary and benefits, but the faculty member's responsibilities may be altered by mutual agreement between the faculty member and the University. Alternatively, the Provost may authorize early termination of the faculty member's performance of duties. In such a case, the faculty member will receive compensation equivalent to 90 days' salary.

4-H ADVISORY COMMITTEES ON APPOINTMENTS, PROMOTIONS AND TENURE

4-H (1) University Committees

The President receives the advice of the University Committee on Appointments, Promotions, and Tenure. This committee generally consists of at least six panels of four to eight faculty members, and the subcommittees described in the next two paragraphs. For nomination procedures, see Section 2-B (4)(a) of Chapter 2. The committee may use consultants or appoint ad hoc panels or members as necessary. A member of the University Committee on Appointments, Promotions and Tenure shall not participate in the deliberations on a dossier prepared by the academic unit within which he or she serves. (Such a unit would be a department in the larger schools or the school itself when that unit is not departmentalized.)

For librarians, the President receives the advice of the University Committee on Librarian Appointments, Promotions and Continuing Appointments. This committee generally consists of a single panel of four to eight members. For nomination procedures, see Section 2-B (4)(a) of Chapter 2. The committee may use consultants or appoint ad hoc panels or members as necessary. A
member of the University Committee on Librarian Appointments, Promotions and Continuing Appointments shall not participate in the deliberations on a dossier prepared by the specific unit within which he or she serves.

For research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track promotions, the President receives the advice of the University Committee on Research-Track, Teaching-Track, Practitioner-Track, and Clinical-Track Promotions to consider appeals when deans have not agreed with the advice of faculty committees. The committee appoints ad hoc panels that include both tenured and research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track faculty, at the rank of Associate Professor or higher. If the faculty member being considered is part-time, the ad hoc panel will, whenever possible, include a part-time faculty member at appropriate rank. Individuals shall not be appointed to panels deliberating on files prepared by the specific units in which they serve.

4-H (2) School or Divisional Committees on Appointments, Promotions and Tenure

In preparing all recommendations to the Provost, and in making delegated decisions on appointments and promotions, the dean receives the advice of faculty committees on appointments, promotions, and tenure. These committees are selected in accordance with school guidelines. In larger schools there are departmental as well as school faculty committees on appointments, promotions, and tenure. Some schools may utilize committees of the whole departmental or school tenured faculty, or divisional or institute faculty committees on appointments, promotions, and tenure. Committees (or committees of the whole) for research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track cases include tenured, tenure-track, and research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track faculty. Procedures for certain appointments are abbreviated as provided in Section 4-A.
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5-A RESEARCH PROPOSALS

All faculty-initiated proposals relating to research or training programs, whether made to private foundations, corporations, or government sponsors, must be transmitted to the prospective sponsor through regular USC channels, including the Department of Contracts and Grants. Approaches to private sources of funding for gifts must be coordinated with the Office of the Senior Vice-President, University Advancement, as well as submitted to the dean or Provost. See the University policies website, http://policy.usc.edu. All proposals for sponsored research or training programs should utilize the TARA system to document approvals by academic and administrative officers before submission to the Department of Contract and Grants. These should be prepared as far in advance of submission dates or deadlines as possible. For more information on the preparation process, please consult the Department of Contracts and Grants website, http://research.usc.edu/dcg.

5-B CLASSIFIED AND PROPRIETARY RESEARCH

The University policy regarding participation in classified or proprietary research is not to accept or to renew extramural contracts, grants, gifts or other agreements that restrict the rights of the faculty to free conduct of inquiry or to free scholarly dissemination of results within a reasonable time, with the exception of the limited cases described below.

5-B (1) Basic Principles

This policy is based upon adherence to four basic principles. The first of these is the critical importance of freedom of inquiry to the academic community. The University does not presume to impose limits on the freedom of the faculty in the choice of fields or methods of inquiry, and cannot allow outside agencies to do so. The second principle, closely linked to the first, is the importance of unrestricted scholarly dissemination of the results of research. Scholarly publication or other means of access by interested persons to such results, normally including underlying data and procedures or analysis as well as final results, are essential elements in the progress of knowledge. Similarly important is the third principle, that of open identification of the actual sources of funding for all sponsored programs. The University must be free to disclose the existence of a project, the general nature of the inquiry, and the level and duration of funding as well as the identity of the sponsor. Finally, the fourth principle involves the University's recognition and protection of the legitimate rights of an outside sponsor, especially in terms of patent issues or possible disclosure of proprietary information. Thus, the University may grant to a sponsor the privilege of review or temporary delay of publications as indicated under Exception (a) in Section 5-B (2).
5-B (2) **Exceptions**

Exceptions to the policy enunciated above are as follows:

(a) The University may permit sponsor review and delay (normally not to exceed three months and never to exceed one year), but not denial of publication of results, for one of the following reasons:

- If a project involves use of privileged, restricted, or export-controlled data from the sponsor;
- If a project is only one task or element of a larger program and the release of result must be coordinated with others; or
- If a project involves the development of a process or invention that may be patentable. These publications should suitably protect the sponsor's proprietary or confidential input data according to mutually agreed upon contractual requirements and normal standards of professional ethics.

(b) The University may consent to the preparation of privileged reports to a sponsor such as technical reports and other materials produced specifically in satisfaction of a contract, provided that the purpose and the general results of the research remain publishable. Such arrangements must be clearly established in the terms of agreement.

(c) The University may permit faculty with appropriate security clearance to have access to classified facilities or to classified information outside the University, provided that such access is necessary to the conduct of the research and that neither the conduct nor the general results of the research are treated as classified within the University.

(d) The University does not agree to participate in classified or export-controlled research, or research that otherwise restricts publication or identification of the sponsor, except in rare instances involving national security, exceptional national need, or other special circumstances. Proposals for such exceptions must be reviewed and approved by the Vice President of Research, (i) after review and recommendation by a standing committee of faculty from a broad range of disciplines appointed by the Provost, or (ii) in an expedited process without committee review only as specified in the University Policy on International Collaboration and Export Control.

(e) Finally, the University does not prohibit its faculty from engaging in individual consulting relationships with external organizations that may involve classified research. Normal University policies governing consulting activity will apply in all such cases, and care must be taken to prevent the appearance of University participation in the classified research.
5-B (3)  Scope and Applicability

This policy applies with equal force to all government, private, foreign-sponsored projects, and to all gift agreements. All agreements for sponsored projects must clearly state that University investigators retain full and free rights to publish the general results of their research in the manner accepted in the relevant discipline, except as provided in section 5-B (2).

5-C  RESEARCH INVOLVING HUMAN SUBJECTS

The University Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) are fully authorized to review all research proposals, whether funded or not, that are conducted by the faculty, staff, and graduate or undergraduate students, that involve the use of human subjects. The University IRBs have been established to comply with regulations of various federal agencies, and are committed to conducting biomedical and behavioral research involving human subjects under rigorous ethical principles. The IRBs are required to assure that:

- Research methods are appropriate to the objectives of the research;
- Research methods are the safest, consistent with sound research design;
- Risks are justified in terms of related benefits to the subjects;
- Subjects' privacy is protected;
- Subjects participate willingly and knowingly to the extent possible; and
- Research projects are monitored by the IRBs.


5-D  PATENT POLICY

A basic function of the University is to contribute to knowledge and culture by creative activity in all academic areas, and to disseminate the results of such creative activity by the most appropriate and effective means. The securing of a patent, in certain circumstances, may be the most appropriate and effective means of disseminating the knowledge involved, and it is the general policy of the University to encourage and support production of such patents for the purpose of dissemination of knowledge.
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6-I CONSENSUAL RELATIONSHIPS
6-A FOUNDATIONS

6-A (1) Equal Opportunity

The University of Southern California is an equal-opportunity educator and employer, proudly pluralistic and firmly committed to providing equal opportunity for outstanding persons of every race, creed, and background. The University strives to maintain a community in which each person respects the rights of other people to live, work and learn in peace and dignity, be proud of who and what they are, and to have equal opportunity to realize their full potential as individuals and members of society. To this end, the University places great emphasis on those values and virtues that bind us together as human beings and members of the Trojan Family. The University enthusiastically supports this principle in its entirety, and expects that every person associated with the University will give continuing support to its implementation.

6-A (2) Non-Discrimination and Affirmative Action

The University is firmly committed to complying with all applicable laws and governmental regulations at every level of government that prohibit discrimination against, or which mandate that special consideration be given to, students and applicants for admission, and faculty, staff and applicants for employment, on the basis of any protected characteristic, as defined in Section 6-A (5).

This commitment applies to all of the University's educational programs and activities, including admissions, and all personnel actions including but not limited to recruiting, hiring, promotion, demotion, compensation, benefits, transfers, layoffs, return from layoff, provision of leaves, training, education, tuition assistance and other University programs. In addition, an otherwise qualified individual must not be discriminated against in, or excluded from, admissions, participation in educational programs and activities, or employment due to his or her disability. The University seeks compliance with all statutes prohibiting discrimination in education, including Title VI and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 which respectively prohibit discrimination. This good-faith effort to comply is made even when such laws and regulations conflict with each other. The University will make reasonable accommodations for qualified individuals with known disabilities unless doing so would result in an undue hardship.

All employment ads for faculty positions shall include the following notice:

USC is an equal-opportunity educator and employer, proudly pluralistic and firmly committed to providing equal opportunity for
outstanding persons of every race, gender, creed and background. The University particularly encourages members of underrepresented groups, veterans and individuals with disabilities to apply.

The job posting in the University online system should include the following full version.

USC is an equal-opportunity educator and employer, proudly pluralistic and firmly committed to providing equal opportunity for outstanding persons of every race, gender, creed and background. The university particularly encourages members of underrepresented groups, veterans and individuals with disabilities to apply. USC will make reasonable accommodations for qualified individuals with known disabilities unless doing so would result in an undue hardship. Further information is available by contacting uschr@usc.edu.

6-A (3) Academic Freedom

Just as the University is committed to securing for its students, faculty and staff a safe educational and work environment free of harassment, it is equally committed to maintaining academic freedom as declared in Section 3-B (1)(a) of Chapter 3. Our academic community also recognizes that when harassment is committed against students or faculty it threatens their academic freedom.

The University recognizes that students are exposed to thought-provoking ideas as part of their educational experience, and some of these ideas may challenge their beliefs and may lead a student to claim that an educational experience is offensive. Therefore allegations of harassment that arise in the educational context will be considered in keeping with the University’s commitment to academic freedom. The educational experience may include, for example, lectures, dialogues, assigned materials, and student assignments, and visual or written material as well as speech. The faculty member should carefully consider the class climate and ground rules around academic discourse, so that student learning is promoted but students are not unreasonably exposed to conditions in which harassment could easily arise.

6-A (4) Advice and Counseling

A faculty member who is either a respondent or a complainant under these policies may seek advice from the Academic Senate President and the Senate Committee on Faculty Rights and Responsibilities, recognizing that such communications are not confidential or legally privileged. Mediation and grievances are not available as a substitute for the process explained in this policy, and students who report sexual harassment by faculty will not be required to resolve the problem directly with the respondent. Advisers may be present as provided in Section 6-E (3) and parties to grievances or dismissals before the Committee on Tenure Appeals under Section 6-G may have legal counsel participate as provided in Chapters 7 and 8.
Any person found to have been subjected to discrimination or harassment may access free counseling services through the University. Such services are also available to others who have been affected by harassment or discrimination, as well as to the person who engaged in the discrimination or harassment. Counseling for faculty is provided through the Center for Work and Family Life, and for students through the Engemann Student Health Center and Relationship and Sexual Violence Prevention and Services.

A student, faculty, or staff member who reports that he or she has been a victim of sexual assault or sexual harassment, or any form of gender-based misconduct, committed by a faculty member will receive written notification of (a) rights and options; (b) existing counseling, health, mental health, victim advocacy, legal assistance, and other services available for victims both on-campus and in the community; (c) options for, and available assistance in, changing academic, living, transportation, and working situations, if so requested by the complainant and if such accommodations are reasonably available, regardless of whether the complainant chooses to report the crime to the Department of Public Safety or local law enforcement.

6-A (5) Protected Characteristics

The protected characteristics under this policy include race, color, national origin, citizenship, ancestry, religion, gender, gender identity, gender expression, sex, sexual orientation, age (40 or older), physical disability, medical condition, mental disability, marital status, pregnancy, veteran status, genetic information, and any other characteristic which may be specified in applicable laws and governmental regulations.

6-A (6) Relation to Other Policies

This policy deals with complaints against faculty members, as defined by University policy (see Section 6-A(10)). The substantive policies on behavior by students and staff are similar to this policy, with a separate processes. For considering complaints against students; see student sexual, interpersonal, and protected class misconduct, https://policy.usc.edu/student-misconduct. For complaints against staff or anyone else who interacts with the University community, see http://equity.usc.edu/sexual-harassment. Some but not all of the provisions in this policy are required by law.

6-A (7) Definitions

Mentions of “this policy” refer to all of Chapter 6. “A student” refers to a USC student. “Protected characteristics” are defined in Section 6-A (5). References to “discrimination, harassment, or retaliation” encompass any violation of this policy and mentions of any prohibited behavior include threats or attempts to perform that behavior. “Action” and similar terms include failure to act when there is a duty to do so. “Designated Investigator” is defined in Section 6-E (1).
References to the “Vice Provost” mean the Vice Provost or the Vice President, trained in the requirements of Title IX and this policy, who is designated by the Provost to take actions under this policy. When the “Associate Senior Vice President, Human Resources” is not available, an official, trained in the requirements of Title IX and this policy, who is designated by the Provost to take actions under this policy.

When the “Associate Senior Vice President, Human Resources” is not available, an official, trained in the requirements of Title IX and this policy, who is designated by the Senior Vice President, Administration, will act instead. “Government regulations” are defined in Section 6-A (11). Provisions in this Chapter mentioning those regulations are applicable to cases of alleged sexual assault, stalking, dating violence, or domestic violence; the University may also apply such provisions to other cases under this Chapter 6.

6-A (8) Sources of Information

Questions regarding the application of the various rules and regulations concerning equal employment opportunity, affirmative action, and non-discrimination should be addressed to the Office of Equity and Diversity, oed@usc.edu. The Disabled/Veterans Affirmative Action Plan may be reviewed by employees and applicants upon request; for further information or to make an appointment during regular business hours, contact the Office of Equity and Diversity. The University’s Title IX Coordinator, is Gretchen Dahlinger Means, Executive Director of the Office of Equity and Diversity, University Park Campus, Los Angeles, California 90089-0704, oed@usc.edu. The Americans with Disabilities Act Coordinator/ Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 Coordinator is Christine Street, streetc@usc.edu. Karen Nutter, knutter@hr.usc.edu, is the Age Discrimination Act Coordinator for the Age Discrimination Act of 1975. Further information on accommodations for disabilities is available from Human Resources Administration by contacting uschr@usc.edu or (213) 821-8111.

6-A (9) Fundamental Fairness

6-A (9)(a) In General

Procedures for disciplinary action shall provide a prompt, fair, adequate, reliable, and impartial process from the initial investigation to the final result. There will be equitable information gathering from both the complainant and the respondent to the violation. For details on how these procedures are made specific, see the policies and practices of the Office of Equity and Diversity, http://equity.usc.edu.

In the interest of separation of roles, the procedures established under this Chapter provide for different individuals or bodies to conduct fact finding, Section 6-B (1)(a), reach conclusions as to violations, Section 6-B (1)(b), decide on appeals of findings and conclusions, Section 6-F (1), and consult on and impose disciplinary action, Section 6-F (3), and also provide the right to a hearing before a faculty committee, Section 6-G.

6-A (9)(b) In Title IX Cases
Both parties will be provided an equal opportunity to participate in any process that is part of a Title IX review, appeal of findings and conclusions, or grievance or dismissal hearings. There are no public hearings.

As provided by Government regulations, all proceedings, including the investigation, appeals and grievances, shall be conducted in a manner that (a) is consistent with the University’s policies and transparent to the complainant and respondent; (b) includes timely notice, of meetings; (c) provides the complainant, the respondent, and appropriate officials timely and equal access to information that will be used after the fact-finding investigation during informal and formal disciplinary meetings and hearings; and (d) are conducted by officials who do not have a conflict of interest or bias for or against the complainant or the respondent. For cases involving sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, and stalking, the proceedings must be conducted by officials who at a minimum, receive annual training on the issues related to dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking and on how to conduct an investigation and hearing process that protects the safety of victims and promotes accountability.

The Office of Equity and Diversity will maintain detailed records of each informal and formal complaint, including individuals involved, investigative steps taken, documentation received, individuals interviewed, decisions reached, and reasons for decisions reached.

6-A (10) Scope

This policy applies to all behavior by a faculty member while performing a University role; or on campus or at a facility of the University; or at an activity under the auspices of the University; or where the complainant is a faculty or staff member, student, post-doctoral fellow, resident, applicant, patient, vendor, contractor, or visitor, or employee of an affiliate of the University; or which is adequate cause for discipline under Sections 8-B or 8-C of Chapter 8. This policy applies to off-campus misconduct when it falls within the purview of the prior sentence. The University will also consider the effects of off-campus conduct when evaluating whether there is a hostile environment on campus.

The specific University policy and procedure that apply depend on who is alleged to have committed the misconduct. This policy applies to behavior by a faculty member. For behavior by students, see student sexual, interpersonal, and protected class misconduct, https://policy.usc.edu/student-misconduct; for behavior by staff or anyone else who interacts with the University community, see http://equity.usc.edu/sexual-harassment.

6-A (11) Government Regulations

Mentions of “government regulations” refer to the following.
i. Federal Government regulations, 34 CFR 688.46(k), issued under the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act (VAWA) of 2013, Public Law 113-4. Provisions in this Chapter mentioning those regulations are applicable to cases of alleged sexual assault, stalking, dating violence, or domestic violence; the University may also apply such provisions to other cases under this chapter.

ii. California Education Code §67386, enacted by state law SB 967, which requires the trustees to adopt certain policies for cases involving students.

iii. Title IX, Patsy Mink Equal Opportunity in Education Act, Public Law No. 92-318, and Government’s expectations under Title IX, which state, among other things, that both parties have equal rights in bringing a grievance challenging a sanction imposed on a faculty member, or participating in a grievance brought by the other person.

iv. Requirements the Office of Civil Rights has made in determinations at other universities, through “voluntary resolution agreements” (VRA) under Title IX.

Measures required by government regulations must be applied as required by law, but may be extended at the University’s discretion to other proceedings under this policy.

6-A (12) COLLEGIAL PROBLEM SOLVING

Situations damaging to collegial relations may sometimes arise. To deal with such situations, the Academic Senate has established a panel on collegial problem solving within the Senate’s Committee on Faculty Rights and Responsibilities. A faculty member who has a complaint concerning the actions of a colleague (as opposed to a grievance of the sort described in Section 7-A) may contact the Academic Senate office to request a consultation with the panel. This panel will be available for consultation and the informal resolution of disputes or other problems that may arise among faculty colleagues. (Grievances against administrators will be handled through the separate process described in Sections 7-A through 7-E.) The panel does not give legal advice. If attempts at informal resolution are unsuccessful, the panel may recommend formal mediation or, with the assent of those involved, may refer the matter to the office of the Provost. If those concerned wish, the panel will work on a confidential basis to the extent possible, but all participants should understand that the proceedings are not legally privileged from disclosure, and that complaints related to sexual harassment, discrimination or retaliation or to compliance with Government requirements will be reported for appropriate investigation.
6-A (13) Other Harassment

No faculty member may take actions that are harassing, abusive, or intimidating against another member of the University community, even if not based on a protected characteristic, if a reasonable person would have perceived them as objectively offensive, and with due respect for the protection of academic freedom as discussed in Section 6-A (3). Such actions may be investigated and corrective action or discipline imposed under this policy; or such actions may instead be responded to as are other instances of misconduct or poor performance.

6-B POLICY AGAINST DISCRIMINATION, HARASSMENT, AND RETALIATION

The University of Southern California is committed to maintaining an environment that is free from discrimination and harassment, including sexual harassment. To carry out this University commitment, the University will not tolerate statements or actions that create a discriminatory or harassing work or educational environment.

Attempts or threats to commit acts prohibited by this policy, or to omit acts required by this policy, are prohibited. Complaints and witness statements that are not in good faith are also prohibited.

Any faculty member who violates this policy will be subject to appropriate disciplinary action for misconduct, which may include termination or dismissal for cause in accordance with applicable University policies.

This policy applies to all behavior by a faculty member while performing a University role; or on campus or at a facility of the University; or at an activity under the auspices of the University; or where the complainant is a faculty or staff member, student, post-doctoral fellow, resident, applicant, patient, vendor, contractor, or visitor, or employee of an affiliate of the University; or which is adequate cause for discipline under Sections 8-B or 8-C of Chapter 8. For behavior by students, see student sexual, interpersonal, and protected class misconduct, https://policy.usc.edu/student-misconduct; for behavior by anyone else who interacts with the University community, see http://equity.usc.edu/sexual-harassment.

6-B (1) Discrimination

No faculty member may discriminate against anyone based on any protected characteristic, as defined in Section 6-A (5).

6-B (2) Harassment Based on a Protected Characteristic

No faculty member may take actions that are harassing, abusive, or intimidating against anyone based on any protected characteristic, as defined in Section 6-A
(5), or commit actions that adversely affect another because of a protected characteristic. Alleged misconduct does not have to be directed at a specific person or persons to constitute harassment. To find that an action creates a hostile environment it must be found that the action was both objectively and subjectively offensive, i.e., one that a reasonable person would find hostile or abusive, and one that the complainant in fact did perceive to be so.

Such conduct may include, but is not limited to, the following examples, if a reasonable person would have perceived them as objectively offensive, and with due respect for the protection of academic freedom as discussed in Section 6-A (3):

- Ridicule, abuse, insults or derogatory comments that are directly or indirectly based on a protected characteristic;
- Offensive remarks about an individual’s looks, clothing, or body parts, that relate to a protected characteristic;
- Offensive comments about an individual’s racial, ethnic, or religious characteristics;
- Disparaging or offensive remarks about an individual’s sex or gender whether or not sexual in nature;
- Offensive comments about an individual’s religious beliefs or lack of religious beliefs;
- Expressing negative stereotypes regarding an individual’s country of birth, ancestry, citizenship, or race;
- Negative comments regarding an individual’s age when referring to employees 40 and over;
- Disparaging, intimidating, or offensive references to an individual’s mental or physical impairment or disability;
- Disparaging and unwelcome racial or ethnic remarks, or disparaging and unwelcome racial or ethnic slurs, jokes, or epithets;
- Disparaging and unwelcome comments based on other protected characteristics;
- Offensive and unwelcome language directed at someone because of her or his gender or gender identity, or based on gender stereotypes;
- Any unwelcome verbal or physical behavior based on any protected characteristic when the behavior can reasonably be considered to adversely affect the work or academic environment, or when an
academic, admissions, or employment decision or recommendation affecting the individual is based on his or her acceptance or rejection of such behavior.

6-B (2)(a) Role of Title IX Coordinator

The Title IX Coordinator will maintain overview of the investigation and resolution as provided in the relevant policy, will be provided written notice of the complaint and investigation and, where sexual harassment is found to have occurred, will have overview of the steps the University will take in response in accordance with the relevant policy. In addition to disciplinary action taken as provided in this policy and steps to stop the harassment, the Title IX Coordinator will provide overview of all individual or systemic steps necessary to prevent recurrence, to eliminate any hostile environment, and to remedy the discriminatory effects of the harassment on the complainant and others, as appropriate. Some of the steps and remedies that might be provided depending on the investigation findings are listed in Section 6-H.

6-B (3) Other Harassment

Moved to 6-A (13).

6-B (4) Sexual Harassment

No faculty member may commit sexual harassment, defined as unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature when:

- submission to such conduct is either explicitly or implicitly made a term or condition of an individual’s employment, appointment, admission, or academic evaluation; or

- submission to such conduct is used as a basis for evaluation in personnel decisions, academic evaluations, or admissions evaluations affecting an individual; or

- such conduct has the effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual’s work or academic performance, or creating an intimidating, hostile, or offensive working or learning environment.

Sexual harassment includes, but is not limited to, the following examples, if a reasonable person would have perceived them as offensive and the complainant perceived them as offensive, and with due respect for the protection of academic freedom as discussed in Section 6-A (3):

- written instances: suggestive or obscene communication via letters, notes, text messages, e-mails, any material distributed via social media, or any type of digital communication.
- verbal instances: derogatory comments, slurs, jokes, or epithets of a sexual nature or sexist remarks, discussions about sex or sexual activities, requests for sexual favors, repeated and unwelcome propositions for dates, or offensive sexual remarks about an individual’s looks, clothing, or body parts when related to sex or gender.

- physical instances: leering, stalking, assaults, impeding or blocking movement, touching, or body contact.

- visual instances: inappropriate display of sexually explicit objects, pictures, cartoons, posters, computer screen savers, websites, movies, drawings, or sexual gestures.

6-B (4.5) Non-Consensual Viewing, Recording, and Dissemination Sexual Harassment

The privacy and identity of all persons in the university community must be zealously guarded. No faculty member may:

- Observe or record by any means the nudity or sexual activity of another without that person’s consent

- Allow another to observe or record the nudity or sexual activity of another without that person’s consent

- Share images or recordings of the nudity or sexual activity of another without that person’s consent

6-B (5) Sexual Assault

No faculty member may commit sexual assault, defined as any physical sexual act (including, but not limited to, actual or attempted intercourse, sexual touching, fondling, or groping), perpetrated upon a person:

- without consent, or where consent is not freely given;

- where the assailant uses physical force, threat, coercion, or intimidation to overpower or control another; or where the complainant fears that he or she, or another person, will be injured or otherwise harmed if he or she does not submit; or

- where the complainant is unable to give consent. See Section 6-B (5)(a)(3).

For rules pertaining to past sexual history of the complainant or the respondent with each other or with others, see Section 6-E (3).
6-B (5)(a) Consent

6-B (5)(a)(1) Affirmative Consent

An affirmative consent standard applies in the determination of whether consent was given by both parties to sexual activity. "Affirmative consent" means affirmative, conscious, and voluntary agreement to engage in sexual activity. It is the responsibility of each person involved in the sexual activity to ensure that he or she has the affirmative consent of the other or others to engage in the sexual activity. Lack of protest or resistance does not mean consent, nor does silence mean consent. Affirmative consent must be ongoing throughout a sexual activity and can be revoked at any time. The existence of a dating relationship between the persons involved, or the fact of past sexual relations between them, should never by itself be assumed to be an indicator of consent.

6-B (5)(a)(2) Respondent's Condition And Reasonable Steps

In the evaluation of complaints in any disciplinary process, it shall not be a valid excuse that the respondent believed that the complainant consented to the sexual activity under either of the following circumstances:

- The respondent's belief in affirmative consent arose from the intoxication or recklessness of the respondent.
- The respondent did not take reasonable steps, in the circumstances known to the respondent at the time, to ascertain whether the complainant affirmatively consented.

6-B (5)(a)(3) Complainant's Inability To Consent

In the evaluation of complaints in the disciplinary process, it shall not be a valid excuse that the respondent believed that the complainant affirmatively consented to the sexual activity if the respondent knew or reasonably should have known that the complainant was unable to consent to the sexual activity under any of the following circumstances:

- The complainant was asleep or unconscious.
- The complainant was incapacitated due to the influence of drugs, alcohol, or medication, so that the complainant could not understand the fact, nature, or extent of the sexual activity.
- The complainant was unable to communicate due to a mental or physical condition.

6-B (6) Child Abuse

No faculty may commit an act of child abuse, including sexual abuse of an
individual under 18 years of age. All faculty and staff members are required to report any instances of known or suspected abuse, molestation or neglect relating to children. Please see Section 6-D (1)(b) and the University policy on Protecting Minors, http://policy.usc.edu.

6-B (7) Stalking

No faculty member may engage in stalking. Consistent with section 40002(a) of the Violence Against Women Act of 1994, stalking is defined to mean intentionally engaging in a course of conduct directed at a specific person that would cause a reasonable person to fear for his or her safety or the safety of others; or suffer substantial emotional distress. Stalking is also a crime. “Course of conduct” means behavior composed of two or more acts, including, but not limited to, acts in which the stalker directly, indirectly, or through third parties, by any action, method, device, or means, engages in any of the following: monitoring, following, observing, threatening, surveilling, or communicating to or about a person, or interfering with a person’s property. Tormenting behavior is prohibited equally with stalking. Tormenting behavior is defined as non-consensual willful conduct directed at a specific person that seriously alarms or annoys the person, that would have that effect on a reasonable person, and that serves no legitimate purpose.

6-B (7.5) Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, and Intimate Partner Violence

No faculty member may commit domestic violence, dating violence or intimate partner violence, on or off campus. Consistent with the definition in California law, Health & Safety Code 124250 (a), such “violence” means the infliction or threat of physical harm against past or present intimate partners, and includes physical, sexual, and psychological abuse against the partner, that is a part of a pattern of assaultive, coercive, and controlling behaviors directed at achieving compliance from or control over, that partner. “Partner” means a person who is a spouse or former spouse, a cohabitant or former cohabitant, a person with whom he or she has a child, or with whom he or she has, or had, a dating or engagement relationship.

Dating violence and domestic violence are crimes under California law and may be subject to criminal punishment.

6-B (8) Retaliation

No faculty member may threaten, attempt, or commit retaliation against anyone who, in good faith, brings a complaint under this policy or applicable law; or participates in investigation of such a complaint; or protests in good faith alleged discrimination, harassment, or retaliation against another; or exercises their rights or responsibilities under this policy.

Such retaliation may include, but is not limited to, the following types:
• Coercion, intimidation, interference, harassment, discrimination, or vexatious behavior;

• Adverse employment or academic action (or recommending that such action be taken), such as lowering a grade or a performance evaluation, giving a poor academic or employment recommendation, or causing the individual to be demoted or terminated or not promoted, hired, or admitted;

• Exclusion from employment or educational opportunities or limiting scholarly activities such as teaching, research, or publication;

• Limiting employment opportunities, such as providing a poor reference, or refusing to allow appropriate travel;

• Spreading negative information about the individual;

• Shunning or ostracizing an individual.

As provided in government regulations, the University, or an officer, employee, or agent of the University, may not retaliate, intimidate, threaten, coerce, or otherwise discriminate against any individual for exercising their rights or responsibilities under any provision of those regulations.

6-C HARASSMENT-AWARENESS TRAINING

All faculty members must periodically complete required harassment-awareness training programs provided by the University. Additional examples of harassment are provided in this training. It is important for faculty and supervisors to take this training even if they do not believe they need it. This is especially so for senior faculty, who are leaders of the academic community and role models for others. Taking the training as periodically required is not discretionary; it is part of the duties of each faculty member.

6-D PROCEDURES FOR COMPLAINTS

6-D (1) Complaints and Reports

6-D (1)(a) Complaint by Person Subject to Discrimination, Harassment, or Retaliation

Anyone who believes he or she has been discriminated against, harassed, or retaliated against in violation of this policy, or is otherwise directly affected by behavior prohibited by this policy, should report the fact to the Office of Equity and Diversity at 213-740-5086. If another faculty member receives or is informed of a formal or informal complaint, that person must report the matter to OED, as stated in Section 6-D (1)(b).
OED also oversees affirmative-action compliance. Complaints of gender-based sexual misconduct, including sexual harassment or Title IX, should be addressed to the University's Title IX Coordinator, Gretchen Dahlinger Means, CUB Building, University Park Campus, Los Angeles, California 90089-0704, 213-740-5806. Christine Street is the University's Americans with Disabilities Act/Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 Coordinator. Karen Nutter, knutter@hr.usc.edu, is the Age Discrimination Act Coordinator for the Age Discrimination Act of 1975. If a complaint is against a student, contact either the Title IX Coordinator or Student Judicial Affairs and Community Standards (SJACS).

Complainants are expected to make their complaints as soon as possible. While there is no time limit to making a complaint to the University, delay in taking formal action with respect to an incident may foreclose other remedies under federal or state law, and can otherwise impede the investigation, due to changes in memory, or the reduced likelihood of finding witnesses.

6-D (1)(b) Reports of Violations Under University Policy

This Section 6-D (1)(b) deals only with responsibilities under University policy; for responsibilities under the law see Section 6-D (1)(c).

(i) Any faculty member who receives or is informed of a formal or informal complaint involving our policy prohibiting discrimination, harassment, or retaliation, is required to immediately bring the matter to the attention of the Office of Equity and Diversity at (213) 740-5086. The same responsibility exists for a violation of Title IX.

(ii) Moreover, any faculty member who is aware of sex-based harassment must report it to the Title IX Coordinator regardless of whether a complaint is made.

(iii) Health-care professionals and any other individuals who are statutorily exempt from reporting should respect confidentiality.

(iv) The Office of Equity and Diversity will decide whether an investigation is appropriate in the circumstances; the person initially learning of the complaint must not make that decision or try to investigate or resolve the matter except under the guidance of OED.

(v) A notification to the Office of Equity and Diversity shall preferably be in writing or by email and should include (1) all known information about the alleged or suspected discrimination, harassment, or retaliation; (2) the names of the complainant and of the alleged offender(s), if known; and (3) any additional information which would enable the investigator to investigate the allegation.

(vi) Any faculty member is required to report any known or suspected abuse, molestation or neglect related to children. See Section 6-B (6) and the
University policy on Protecting Minors at http://www.usc.edu/policies. For other responsibilities, see the University policy on Reporting Wrongdoing at http://www.usc.edu/policies.

(vii) No faculty member nor entity of the University other than the Office of Equity and Diversity should attempt to investigate, resolve, or adjudicate an apparent violation of any of these policies on discrimination, harassment or retaliation except under the guidance of the Office of Equity and Diversity (and except as permitted by Section 6-A (12) and 6-F(1)) nor should any faculty member dissuade someone from making a complaint or participating in an investigation; it is for only OED to decide whether an investigation is appropriate in the circumstances, to investigate, and to reach findings and conclusions.

6-D (1)(c) Legal Responsibilities

This Section 6-D (1)(c) is to remind certain faculty members of responsibilities under the law; for responsibilities under University policy see Section 6-D (1)(b).

(i) Supervisors must promptly inform the Office of Equity and Diversity of any report to them of sexual assault or sexual harassment, under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and California’s Fair Employment and Housing Act.

(ii) Responsible USC officials must promptly inform the Title IX Coordinator of any report of gender-based sexual misconduct, or other violation of Title IX, under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and California’s Fair Employment and Housing Act, see 6-D (1)(a). Responsible USC officials include the Provost and all Vice Provosts; Deans of schools, as well as Vice Deans for Faculty and for Students; and any administrator of the rank of Assistant Vice President or above.

(iii) Campus Security Authorities must promptly inform the Department of Public Safety about any report of sexual assault or other crime within USC’s geographic area, under the Clery Act (The Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act of 1990). Campus Security Authorities include any USC official who has significant responsibility for student and campus activities, including student discipline. The definition of CSA is found in the University’s Annual Security Report, https://dps.usc.edu/alerts/annual-report/.

(iv) Those designated by law as a “mandated reporter” have an individual duty to report known or suspected abuse or neglect related to children, elders or dependent adults, under the Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act and the Welfare and Institutions Code. This requirement and the definition of “mandated reporter” are explained in the policies on Mandated Reporters, Protecting Minors, and Reporting Wrongdoing at http://policy.usc.edu.

6-D (1)(d) Other Information on Violations
In the absence of a complaint or report, the University may initiate an investigation if it has reason to believe that this policy has been violated.

The University has an obligation to make reasonable efforts to investigate and address instances of sex discrimination when it knows or should have known about such instances, regardless of cooperation and involvement by the complainant.

6-D (1)(e) Complaints to Government Agencies

In addition to notifying the University about unlawful discrimination, harassment, or retaliation, affected employees or other complainants also may direct their complaints to the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) or the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), which have the authority to conduct investigations of the facts. The deadline for filing complaints with the DFEH is one year from the date of the alleged unlawful conduct. If the DFEH believes that a complaint is valid and settlement efforts fail, the DFEH may seek an administrative hearing before the California Fair Employment and Housing Commission (FEHC) or file a lawsuit in court. Both the FEHC and the courts have the authority to award monetary and non-monetary relief in meritorious cases. The Office for Civil Rights (OCR) is responsible for enforcing laws prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, disability, age, or sex. Any person who believes that the University has violated nondiscrimination or affirmative-action obligations as a Federal contractor may contact the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP). Contact information: DFEH: email contact.center@dfeg.ca.gov or http://www.dfeh.ca.gov. EEOC: 1-800-669-4000 (TTY 1-800-669-6820) or http://www.eeoc.gov. OCR: 1-800-421-3481 or http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/complaintinfo.html. OFCCC: 1-800-397-6251 (TTY: 1-202-693-1337).

The crime of rape, or attempted rape, is a serious criminal act. It is the complainant’s right to choose whether to file a criminal report. The University encourages complainants to consider reporting these crimes. To report a crime, complainants may contact the Department of Public Safety (DPS), (213) 740-4321, 24 hours a day. Whenever a crime of a sexual nature is reported to DPS, they immediately notify the Los Angeles Police Department. In cases where the complainant has requested that his or her name not be provided to the LAPD, DPS will honor that request. The LAPD (or the appropriate law enforcement agency if outside of Los Angeles) has the responsibility for the investigation of these crimes; DPS is not permitted to do so. Any report filed with DPS will be shared with the Title IX Coordinator.

6-D (1)(f) University Response - Sexual Harassment

The University will respond to complaints, reports, or information about incidents of sexual harassment in order to stop prohibited conduct, eliminate
any hostile environment, take steps to prevent the recurrence of sexual misconduct, and address any effects on campus from such conduct.

6-D (2) Privacy and Confidentiality

6-D (2)(a) Privacy

The University will respect and safeguard the privacy interests of individuals involved in reports under this policy to the extent possible. Privacy in this context means that information related to a report made under this policy will generally be shared only with those University employees who need to know the information in order to assist in the review, investigation, or resolution of the report. These individuals will keep as private as possible information related to the report. If an investigation is pursued against a respondent, however, information will need to be shared with the respondent and, as appropriate, with relevant witnesses. To the extent a person making a report wishes to keep the complainant’s name private, this may limit the University’s ability to investigate or discipline the responsible individual.

6-D (2)(b) Confidentiality

The University will also respect confidentiality as provided by law. Confidentiality is different from privacy. Confidentiality in this context means that information shared only with campus or community professionals who have legal confidentiality (such as licensed counselors or therapists) will only be disclosed with the individual’s express written permission or as provided by law (where there is a continuing threat of serious harm to the individual or others, or where there is suspected abuse or neglect of a minor; or where disclosure to a third party is otherwise legally required.) An individual can seek confidential assistance and support by speaking with specially designated confidential resources. For information regarding confidential resources see Section 6-A (4).

6-D (2)(c) Anonymous Reporting

Students have the option to make anonymous reports of sexual misconduct by faculty, and may also anonymously access information about resources, through the University’s Title IX website, https://equity.usc.edu/title-ix/, or Relationship and Sexual Violence Prevention and Services or Student Counseling Services (213-740-7711); similarly, faculty members may call the Center for Work and Family Life (213-821-0800). Such anonymous reports are confidential and do not trigger an investigation.

The University will, if so requested, keep as private as possible the identity of persons who report having been victims of domestic violence, dating violence, or intimate partner violence to the fullest extent of the law, but will inform the person making the report that keeping the complainant’s name private may limit the University’s ability to investigate or discipline the responsible individual.
6-D (2)(d)  Medical and Counseling Records

Medical and counseling records are privileged and confidential and a party will never be required to disclose them.

6-D (3)  Warning Against Retaliation

The Designated Investigator shall inform both parties that the law and the University’s rules prohibit threatened, attempted, or actual retaliation against him or her for bringing a good-faith complaint, or against any participant in good faith in the investigation, or against any person who in good faith protests the alleged discrimination, harassment, or retaliation; and shall inform the complainant that any incident of retaliation must be reported immediately to the Office of Equity and Diversity.

In addition, when the Designated Investigator investigates the complaint, he or she shall warn the respondent that retaliation or threats or attempts to retaliate are strictly prohibited. See Section 6-B (8).

6-D (4)  Notification

Upon receipt of an allegation by or against a faculty member, the Office of Equity and Diversity shall notify the appropriate Dean and the Vice Provost for Faculty Affairs and the Vice Provost designated by the Provost for such matters.

6-E  INVESTIGATION

6-E (1)  Designated Investigator

The Office of Equity and Diversity is Designated Investigator under this policy.

The University may designate a different investigator and a different person to determine violations, each trained in the requirements of Title IX and this policy, if it determines it is appropriate.

For complaints that the Designated Investigator determines fall under Title IX or California Education Code §67386, mediation or other informal processes are not available.

6-E (1)(a)  Fact Finding

The assigned investigator within the Office of Equity and Diversity will conduct a prompt, thorough, and impartial investigation of the complaint to find the facts, in accordance with the established policies and practices of the Office of Equity and Diversity. The responsibility is on the University, not the parties to the complaint, to gather the relevant evidence, to the extent reasonably possible, relating to a complaint, report, or other incident of misconduct under this policy of which the University has notice.
6-E (1)(b)  Conclusions as to Violations

The executive director or designee of the Office of Equity and Diversity will determine whether the facts as found show that a violation of this policy has occurred.

If the Executive Director conducted the investigation the Associate Senior Vice President, Human Resources, will designate a different official, trained in the requirements of Title IX and this policy, to make this determination.

6-E (1)(c)  Evidentiary Standard

In matters under Title IX or California Education Code §67386, the evidentiary standard is a preponderance of evidence.

6-E (1)(d)  Conflict of Interest and Bias

If the respondent or the complainant believes there is a conflict of interest or bias involving the assigned investigator or the executive director in the Office of Equity and Diversity, he or she may call that to the attention of the Executive Director of that office, or to the Associate Senior Vice President, Human Resources, who may if appropriate designate different persons, trained in the requirements of Title IX and this policy.

6-E (1)(e)  Relation to Criminal Cases

The University cannot and does not determine if the criminal law was violated. The University's investigation is independent of any criminal investigation. Complainants have a right to proceed simultaneously with a criminal investigation and a University investigation; the University may defer its investigation for a limited time for criminal fact gathering but will then promptly resume its investigation.

6-E (2)  Informing the Respondent

In the conduct of the investigation, the Designated Investigator shall present the respondent with sufficient information so that he or she can meaningfully respond. As required by government regulations, the complainant, the respondent, and appropriate officials will be provided timely and equal access to any information that will be used during the investigation. In communications with the respondent, the Designated Investigator will attempt to employ means of communication that preserve confidentiality. The respondent shall participate in interviews as requested and may also respond in writing.
6-E (3) Investigative Procedure

The investigation will be conducted in accordance with the University's policies and procedures generally applicable to investigations by the Office of Equity and Diversity. The investigation includes interviewing the complainant, respondent and relevant witnesses, and viewing other evidence as may be available. All faculty and staff members and all students are required to cooperate in the investigative process. In investigations of an allegation of sexual assault or sexual harassment, or any form of gender-based misconduct, both the faculty member respondent as well as the complainant (whether faculty or staff member, or student) shall have equal procedural rights, as provided in section 6-A (9)(b). For the availability of advice and counseling, see Section 6-A (4). In cases concerning accusations of sexual assault, the past sexual history of any involved party will not be considered unless directly relevant to the matter under consideration. In general, a complainant's prior sexual history is not relevant and will not be considered. But where there is a sexual history between the complainant and the respondent, and the respondent alleges consent, the prior sexual history between the parties may be relevant to assess the manner of consent between the parties. However, the mere fact of a current or previous dating or sexual relationship, by itself, is not sufficient to constitute consent. In addition, other conduct may be relevant to prove a material fact (for example, to explain an injury or physical finding). Where there is evidence of conduct substantially similar in nature by the respondent, regardless of whether there has been a finding of responsibility, this information may be deemed relevant to the determination of responsibility or sanction.

More detailed information about the investigative procedure is available at the Equity and Diversity website, http://equity.usc.edu.

As provided by government regulations, in cases involving alleged sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, or stalking, the University does not limit the choice or presence of an adviser for either the complainant or the respondent in any meeting or university disciplinary proceeding where that party is present. At the separate meetings of the complainant and the respondent with the investigator, the person being interviewed may have one adviser present. The role of the adviser in that setting is to provide support to the person being interviewed, and the conversation will be between with investigator and the person being interviewed. The adviser may not interfere with or disrupt the interview. To protect the privacy of parties and witnesses involved in the case, the adviser is required to sign a confidentiality statement prior to attending an interview or otherwise participating in the university's investigatory process. At any hearing before the Tenure and Privileges Appeals Committee, this paragraph does not limit the ability of each party to be represented by legal counsel with the role provided in Section 7-C (4) of Chapter 7.
6-E (4) Investigator’s Report

The Designated Investigator shall attempt to complete the investigation and make a written report as efficiently and promptly as possible. Absent extenuating circumstances, the University endeavors to complete investigations of complaints, find the facts and determine if this policy was violated, and make initial determination as to sanctions, in cases of sexual harassment, sex/gender discrimination, sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, or stalking, within 60 days from the date of notice to the respondent, and for other complaints within 90 days. If the report is not complete within the stated 60- or 90-day time frame, the respondent, the complainant, the Academic Senate President, or the designated Vice Provost may ask the Associate Senior Vice President, Human Resources (who has authority over the Office of Equity and Diversity) to explain why it is not yet complete. As provided by government regulations, in cases involving alleged sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, or stalking, the Director of the Office of Equity and Diversity may authorize the extension of timeframes only for good cause and with written notice to the complainant and the respondent of the delay and the reason for the delay. Good causes to extend the period may include the need to conduct a fair and complete investigation, or accommodate or allow for a request by external law enforcement, the availability of witnesses, delays by the parties, University breaks or vacations, the need to await comments under Section 6-F (3), the complexity of the investigation, or other legitimate reasons. Best efforts will be made to complete the investigation in a timely manner by balancing principles of thoroughness and fundamental fairness with promptness.

6-F RESPONSE TO INVESTIGATION/ APPEAL OF FINDINGS

The assigned investigator will notify the complainant and the respondent of the findings of fact of the investigation. The notice will be in writing and sent to both on the same day. Subsequently, the Executive Director of the Office of Equity and Diversity will notify the complainant and the respondent of the conclusion whether those facts violate this policy, and will notify them to the procedures for appeal. The notice will be in writing and sent to both on the same day.

The University will take immediate and appropriate corrective action when it is determined that harassment or other violation of this policy has occurred. If the finding of violation is modified or reversed on appeal, any discipline will be reviewed and modified or rescinded as appropriate.

6-F (1) Appeal of Findings and Conclusions

Within five calendar days of being notified of the conclusions, either the respondent or the complainant (whether faculty or staff member, or student) may appeal the findings, conclusions, or both to a designee of the Provost who is not otherwise involved in any step of the process, and who is trained in the requirements of Title IX and this policy. The appeal should be emailed to the
Vice Provost for Academic and Faculty Affairs (vpafa@usc.edu). A copy of the appeal will be provided to the other party, who will have five calendar days to reply. The appeal will be conducted by review of the record without further investigation or oral hearing, to consider whether the conclusions are supported by the findings, and the findings are supported by the evidence in light of the whole record, and whether there were procedural errors that had a material impact on the fairness of the investigation. The anticipated timeframe for decision on an appeal of findings is 10 days from the receipt of the appeal, unless the Provost’s designee authorizes an extension of the timeframe for good cause and with written notice to the complainant and the respondent of the delay and the reason for the delay.

6-F (2) Omitted in this Edition

6-F (3) Disciplinary Action

The Vice Provost will receive and review the investigative report and consult with the President of the Academic Senate and the relevant Dean. When warranted and considering the seriousness of the offense and all the circumstances, the Vice Provost will proceed to take action as set forth in Section 6-I. For cases alleging a violation of the University policy against sexual harassment, or any form of gender-based misconduct, both the complainant and the respondent shall be notified, in writing and on the same day, of the disciplinary decision as well as any change in that decision.

In general the timeframe for initial decision on disciplinary action is as discussed in Section 6-E (4). However, when there has been an appeal of findings or opportunity of the respondent to submit comments, the anticipated timeframe for decision on a decision on action is 15 days after the appeal is resolved or the respondent’s comments are received whichever is later, unless the Vice Provost authorizes an extension of the timeframe for good cause and with written notice to the complainant and the respondent of the delay and the reason for the delay.

The respondent may file a grievance as provided in Section 6-G within ten days of being notified of the Vice Provost’s decision. For cases alleging a violation of the University policy against sexual harassment, or any form of gender-based misconduct, both parties will have the same rights to file a grievance within the same timeframe. And should either party do so, the document will be forwarded to the other party.

However, dismissal for cause and demotion as defined in Section 8-D (5) of Chapter 8, shall occur only pursuant to the formal proceedings set forth in Section 8-D (2) of Chapter 8, commencing with Step 4, if the Provost decides to bring formal charges on the recommendation of the Vice Provost. In making such a recommendation to the Provost, the Vice Provost shall confirm that the alleged offender received a statement of evidence and was allowed 18 calendar days to provide comments in writing. The Vice Provost will consider any
comments provided and will share them, and the statement of evidence, with the Provost. Moreover, for cases alleging a violation of the University policy against sexual harassment, or any form of gender-based misconduct, the Vice Provost shall confirm that the complainant also received the statement of evidence and was allowed 18 calendar days to provide comments in writing, and that the comments of each were forwarded to the other party. When there has been an appeal of findings or opportunity of the respondent to submit comments, the anticipated timeframe for decision on action is 15 days after the appeal is resolved or the respondent’s comments are received, whichever is later, unless the Vice Provost authorizes an extension of the timeframe for good cause and with written notice to the complainant and the respondent of the delay and the reason for the delay.

6-F (4) Notifications

The Vice Provost will see to it that both the complainant and the respondent, will be notified, in writing and on the same day: (a) the result of any disciplinary proceeding, and the rationale, including notifying a complainant about the sanction imposed on an respondent who was found to have engaged in harassment when the sanction directly relates to the complainant; (b) any change to the result; (c) when such results become final, and (d) the procedures for the respondent and the complainant to appeal or grieve the result.

6-G RIGHT TO A HEARING

6-G (1) In General

With respect to any decision by the Provost’s delegate on an appeal as to findings and conclusions (if an appeal is taken), and with respect to any sanction or corrective action taken by the Vice Provost, the respondent will be entitled, within ten calendar days of being notified of the Vice Provost’s decision, to file a grievance as provided in Chapter 7 of this Faculty Handbook. For cases alleging a violation of the University policy against sexual harassment, or any form of gender-based misconduct, both parties will have the same right to file a grievance within the same time frame. Should either party do so, the document will be forwarded to the other party. This is the method provided by the University to review the decision by the Provost’s delegate in appeals as to findings and conclusions and the Vice Provost’s decision on sanction or corrective action.

If certain sanctions are grieved, and the Provost also brings forward charges seeking dismissal or demotion of the faculty member, the same panel will function as the grievance hearing panel and the dismissal hearing board (as provided in Step 5 of the formal proceedings set forth in Chapter 8, Section 8-D (2)).
6-G (2) Gender-Based Misconduct

This Section 6-G (2) deals with cases of an allegation of sexual assault, sexual harassment, domestic violence, dating violence, stalking, or any form of gender-based misconduct, as required by government regulations. Both the faculty member respondent as well as the complainant (whether faculty or staff member, or student) shall have the same right to file a grievance against the University regarding the sanction or corrective action as provided in this section. Whether the grievance is filed by the complainant or respondent in the original allegation, the Academic Senate President shall distribute a copy to the other person (with a copy to the Executive Director of the Office of Equity and Diversity) and inform the person of the right to comment on the grievance, and inform that person that both parties have equal rights to participate under Chapter 7 (unless the Vice Provost has already so informed them).

If it happens that both parties file a grievance, the same panel will hear both grievances simultaneously, and the chair of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals shall provide equal and appropriate rights as to convening the grievance hearing, under Section 7-C (2) of Chapter 7.

If the complainant and the respondent are of different genders, the grievance hearing panel, or the Step 5 (of Section 8-D (2) of Chapter 8) hearing panel for a dismissal or demotion, shall include members of different genders.

As provided in Section 6-F (4), both the respondent and the complainant will be notified, in writing and on the same day, of any initial, interim, and final decision resolving the disciplinary matter, including any sanctions imposed and the rationale for the result and the sanctions.

The timeframes for the steps in the grievance process are set out in Chapter 7. The chair of the Committee on Tenure and Privileges Appeals or the Provost may authorize an extension of a timeframe for good cause and with written notice to the complainant and the respondent of the delay and the reason for the delay.

6-H SANCTIONS, PROTECTIVE AND REMEDIAL MEASURES AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

6-H (1) Interim Protective Measures

Protective measures are undertaken by the University to protect the complainant or the University community. Consistent with Federal law (20 U.S. Code 1092(f)(8)(B)(ii)) and government regulations, the range of protective measures that the University may offer to the complainant following an allegation of rape, acquaintance rape, sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, include but are not limited to a directive or agreement that the respondent and the complainant have no contact; or changing advisers, graders, line of supervision, or physical location of work, residence or dining; or paid temporary leave; or temporary exclusion from the campus; or,
in the case of students, other protective measures mentioned in the student sexual, interpersonal and protected class misconduct, https://policy.usc.edu/student-misconduct. Changes in location or line of supervision and other protective measures will be undertaken only when appropriate, feasible and justified by the evidence available at that time.

A request for interim protective measures may be made by the complainant to the Designated Investigator at the time the complaint is made or while the investigation or decision is pending. The request will be forwarded for decision to the Provost.

The University does not require a student filing a complaint with the Title IX Coordinator or the Department of Public Safety to sign a no-contact order on the sole basis that the student filed a complaint.

6-H (2) **Interim Remedial Measures**

The University will offer the parties interim remedial measures as appropriate and feasible. This may include, for example, counseling, medical support, a paid leave of absence for the complainant, changes to work schedule to accommodate the need to go to court for a restraining order, help with accessing supportive resources, and other measures including the interim remedial measures for students mentioned in the student sexual, interpersonal and protected class misconduct, https://policy.usc.edu/student-misconduct. A request for interim remedial measures may be made by the complainant to the Designated Investigator at the time the complaint is made or while the investigation or decision is pending. The Investigator will work with the appropriate University office on an appropriate response to the request.

6-H (3) **Sanctions and Corrective Actions**

When a faculty member has been found to have violated this policy, sanctions and corrective action may include but are not limited to, any of the following actions by the University:

- approving an informal resolution;
- ensuring that the person against whom the complaint is made is not called upon to write letters of recommendation or make academic judgments about the person making the complaint or any other decision that affects the academic or professional career of the complainant or witnesses (and making alternative arrangements if necessary);
- changing advisers, graders, the line of supervision, or physical locations of work;
conducting training, holding discussions, distributing leaflets, showing videos or films, or sending letters in the relevant unit explaining the University’s policy on discrimination, harassment, or retaliation;

- action to remedy harm to the complainant or witnesses, e.g., reinstatement of teaching or research assistantships from which complainant or witness was removed by the respondent or re-evaluation of course work graded unfairly by the respondent;

- reviewing materials in the complainant’s or witness’s file and, if there is evidence of materials placed in the file by the individual as an act of discrimination, harassment or retaliation, removing such materials when appropriate;

- counseling of the individual by his or her supervisor(s), such as the Dean, Vice Provost, or Provost, about the individual’s behavior;

- counseling by the Center for Work and Family Life or its designee;

- oral warning of the individual by his or her supervisor(s), such as the Dean, Vice Provost, or Provost, with a record in the individual’s personnel file, that the individual’s behavior constitutes a violation of the University’s policy on discrimination, harassment, or retaliation;

- written warning of the individual by his or her supervisor(s), such as the Dean, Vice Provost, or Provost, with a copy to the individual’s personnel file, that the individual’s behavior violates the University’s policy on discrimination, harassment, or retaliation;

- elimination or reduction of merit increases for the next year;

- removing the individual from a University administrative position;

- denial of promotion or postponement of consideration for promotion;

- suspension without pay, for a period not to exceed one semester;

- reduction in salary;

- for faculty members who do not hold tenure, non-reappointment or termination effective at the end of the current academic or fiscal year; or effective on 90 days’ notice or pay in lieu of notice;

- demotion as defined in Section 8-D (5) of Chapter 8;

- dismissal for cause.
6-I CONSENSUAL RELATIONSHIPS

The University strongly discourages sexual relationships between faculty and students, or persons in a supervisor/supervisee relationship. There is an inherent power differential between faculty and students, and supervisors and supervisees. Sexual relationships between these parties may lack meaningful consent. These relationships may put either party at risk. They can create a perceived lack of freedom to give meaningful consent about the relationships. The University does not tolerate non-consensual sexual relationships within its work and academic environment. Faculty and supervisors should seriously consider the risks to their own professional and private lives, as well as those created for the student or supervisee before entering into such a relationship. The University strongly recommends that such relationships generally be avoided. If the relationship is nevertheless not avoided, the University strongly recommends that the faculty member disclose it to the department chair or Dean or Vice Provost designated for such purposes by the Provost. Confidentiality shall be protected to the fullest extent possible.

A relationship may be voluntary in the sense that an individual is not forced to participate against his or her will, yet it may be unwelcome and therefore result in a claim of sexual harassment or sexual assault. Even a fully consensual relationship may create an exposure to legal risk, as others may be treated less favorably, or may feel they have been treated less favorably, than was the person in the sexual relationship; this may be considered a form of sexual favoritism and, as such, is a violation of University policy.

If a sexual relationship ends, and a participant finds that future such contact by the former partner is unwelcome, it is highly desirable that he or she give explicit notice to the former partner in clear, unambiguous terms of the fact that the relationship is over and future contact or comments of a sexual nature are unwelcome.

The University does not permit the fact of a relationship between any employee and another to create favored or disadvantageous treatment. In other words, the person with whom one is having a sexual relationship may not receive more favored treatment because of that relationship, nor may those who are not in such a relationship be subjected to disadvantageous treatment on that basis.

Therefore, if there is a consensual sexual relationship between a faculty member and a person who is a student or another faculty or staff member, and the faculty member would otherwise evaluate or supervise that person, the faculty member must not evaluate or supervise that individual. To protect privacy, faculty members who recuse themselves from such decisions or supervisory responsibilities are not required to state reasons.

If a faculty member is in doubt concerning the possibility of a violation of Section 6-I it is recommended that the faculty member consult the department chair or Dean. A department chair or Dean who has similar doubts should
initiate discussion with the faculty member; and any other faculty member with such concerns should raise them with the chair or Dean, who should take appropriate steps. Advice in these situations should be sought from the Vice Provost designated for such purposes by the Provost and from the President of the Faculty. Confidentiality shall be protected to the fullest extent possible.
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7-A SUBJECT MATTER

A grievance may be filed for a violation of rights provided by law, or by established University policies including those contained in the Faculty Handbook, or by the faculty member's contract.

Grievances relating to reappointment, promotion or tenure are limited to (1) a claim that the person was not fairly evaluated because of procedural defects (including inadequate procedural considerations) that materially inhibited the review process, or (2) a claim that the person was not fairly evaluated on the merits because the decision was based significantly on considerations violative of academic freedom or because of bias or prejudice based on considerations prohibited by law, or (3) a claim that tenure was revoked without sufficient cause, except if there has been a hearing and cause for termination was determined.

Grievances concerning the policy on Providing a Safe Educational and Work Environment are handled under the separate procedures provided within Chapter 6. Allegations of scientific misconduct are handled under the process set out in the University Policy on Scientific Misconduct, https://policy.usc.edu/scientific-misconduct/.

7-B PROCEDURES

7-B (1) Preliminary Proceedings

7-B (1)(a) Discussions

When a faculty member has any work-related grievance, including when he or she has reason to question whether his or her rights as a faculty member have been violated or are about to be violated, the aggrieved faculty member should ordinarily discuss the matter with the relevant chair, dean, or other administrator(s) in personal conferences looking to mutual settlement. Normally, this should include at least the direct administrative officer against whom a formal grievance may be filed, and if settlement is not reached, the administrator to whom the first is accountable.

If settlement does not result, and the faculty member is satisfied that there is probable cause for grievance, he or she may file a grievance, as described in Section 7-B (2).

7-B (1)(b) Consultation

The faculty member with any work-related grievance, whether or not it is a matter of rights as a faculty member as described in Section 7-A, is encouraged, at an early stage, to seek the counsel of the chair of the Senate Committee on Faculty Rights and Responsibilities. The President of the Faculty, and the Vice Provost for Faculty and Academic Affairs on behalf of the Provost, are also
available to faculty. In addition, a number of offices are available on specified topics; see "Who do I call if" at http://faculty.usc.edu/

7-B (1)(c) Mediation

Because the grievance process has substantial costs to all involved, faculty members are strongly encouraged to attempt mediation prior to filing a grievance.

The Academic Senate and the Provost make available the option of a neutral Faculty Mediation Officer to assist in settling disputes prior to the grievance being heard.

7-B (2) Initiating the Grievance

Formal proceedings for a faculty grievance shall commence by the filing of a grievance with the Academic Senate on a form obtainable from the Senate office. A faculty member must file a grievance by submitting the appropriate form in writing either in hard copy or, if available, online to the Academic Senate President within nine calendar months of the discovery of the action on which the grievance is based (except for the special timeline provided in the first sentence of Section 6-G of Chapter 6 for grievances). The Academic Senate office shall maintain grievance records in a confidential manner.

The grievance must clearly state:

- the right that allegedly has been infringed,
- the way in which the right has been infringed,
- the relief being sought, and
- the first administrative officer able to resolve the problem.

The written statement may be revised by the grievant at any time up to two weeks prior to the hearing. The Academic Senate President shall assure that any revision is distributed to all appropriate parties.

Two or more persons with essentially the same grievance may file a single grievance, covering all of them. If an individual files a grievance, and it is later discovered that one or more others are similarly affected, the others may ask to join the original grievant at any stage of the grievance procedure. The Academic Senate President or the chair of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals may also combine the grievances of two or more persons into a single grievance with the consent of the grievants, if either finds that the grievances can be combined without prejudice to the rights of any of the grievants or the University. If there is more than one person on either side, the committee chair will decide how that side exercises it peremptory challenges.
7-B (3) Resolving the Grievance Prior to Arbitration or a Grievance Hearing

The Academic Senate President shall, within five working days, deliver a copy of the grievance to the first administrative officer stated in the formal grievance as able to solve the problem, the Provost, the chair of the Senate Committee on Faculty Rights and Responsibilities, and the Faculty Mediation Officer.

The chair of the Senate Committee on Faculty Rights and Responsibilities and the Faculty Mediation Officer shall then begin procedures for mediation, unless mediation has already been attempted and proven futile. Mediation looking toward settlement shall begin with the first administrative officer and shall proceed successively, as needed and appropriate, to higher levels within the University. The chair of the Senate Committee on Faculty Rights and Responsibilities may appoint a mediator, if either of the parties requests the services of a neutral mediator other than the Faculty Mediation Officer.

If the grievance is resolved to the satisfaction of the grievant by an administrator, the grievant shall report this fact, in writing, to the Academic Senate President, and the case is closed. If the grievant at any time requests, in writing, to the Academic Senate President that a grievance hearing be held, or if mediation has not led to settlement within 45 days of the filing of a grievance, the Academic Senate President shall forward the grievance to the Provost, indicating that mediation has not been successful and requesting that an arbitration proceeding or a grievance proceeding be held. The Provost will forward the grievance to the chair of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals requesting that formal proceedings be commenced as discussed below. Upon written agreement of both the Provost's Office and the grievant, an extension of the 45 day mediation period may be granted. At any time during extended mediation, the grievant shall have the right to request, in writing, the commencement of formal proceedings. In no case shall the total time for mediation exceed 90 days.

In the event that new, pertinent, and substantive information is discovered after mediation has been declared unsuccessful, the matter may be returned to mediation upon written agreement of both the Provost's Office and the grievant, provided that neither arbitration nor a grievance hearing has begun. At any time during renewed mediation, the grievant shall have the right to request, in writing, the formation of a Hearing Board, but in no case shall the renewed mediation exceed 45 days.

7-B (4) Binding Arbitration Option

The Academic Senate grievance form provides notification of the option for binding arbitration as an alternative to a grievance hearing. Binding arbitration shall occur only if both the grievant and the President of the University agree, in writing, to submit the matter to this process and to abide by the decision of the
arbiter. Binding arbitration means that the faculty member will forego the ability to later sue in court over the matter and the University will forego the ability of the President to overrule the arbiter's decision. Binding arbitration never will be available for grievances concerning tenure, promotion, removal for cause, and non-reappointment.

The grievant and the President of the University have 10 business days in which to notify the chair of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals that they have elected arbitration. If both have not given notice that they have elected arbitration at the end of this period, a grievance hearing shall be convened as discussed below in Section 7-C (2).

If both sides agree to submit the matter to binding arbitration, the chair of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals shall arrange for the appointment of an arbiter designated by the American Arbitration Association. The American Arbitration Association shall designate an arbiter in accord with its procedures and inform the chair of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals of the arbiter's identity. The chair shall then inform both the grievant and the respondent of the arbiter's identity. Either side shall have three working days to reject that arbiter. However, neither side may reject more than one arbiter in any case. If the arbiter is rejected by either party, the American Arbitration Association will be asked to designate another individual. The same procedure will be followed, except that the party having rejected the earlier arbiter may not reject a second individual.

Once chosen, the arbiter, together with the parties and the chair of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals, shall convene the arbitration at an appropriate time and location. The arbitration shall be conducted in accord with the rules of the American Arbitration Association.

Within 20 days after the completion of the arbitration, the arbiter shall notify, in writing, the parties and the chair of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals of his or her ruling.

The University of Southern California shall be responsible for all of the costs of the arbiter and in no event may the grievant be held responsible for these charges. The University is not responsible for costs and expenses incurred by the grievant in the process of arbitration, such as expert witness fees and attorneys' costs.

7-C GRIEVANCE HEARING

7-C (1) The Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals

The Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals is a standing University body. Its membership, appointed by the President from among persons nominated as provided in Section 2-B (4)(a) of Chapter 2 is made up of at least 40 full-time tenured faculty, and at least nine full-time research-track, teaching-
track, practitioner-track, and clinical-track faculty of the rank of Associate Professor or higher who serve only where the Handbook requires a research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, and clinical-track faculty member. At least four tenured committee members shall have law degrees and at least six other tenured members shall have had prior service on a grievance panel. Nominations and appointments shall be at annual intervals for a three-year term. However, members of the Committee shall continue to serve until their successors have been appointed. The President shall appoint the chair of the Committee who will serve for a three-year term. By agreeing to serve on the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals, faculty members are making the commitment to be available to serve on grievance panels and will accept such requests to serve whenever possible.

7-C (2) Convening a Grievance Hearing

7-C (2)(a) Scheduling

After the time elapses for the election of binding arbitration and for mediation (or the Academic Senate President has notified the Provost that mediation has not been successful), the parties will consult on a hearing date convenient for all involved and notify the chair of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals of the date agreed. In the absence of an agreement of the parties, or if the agreed date is not workable for the committee, the chair may decide the date of the hearing. The location of the hearing will be selected by the chair considering the convenience of all involved. If six months pass from the date the grievance was filed and the hearing has not been held, the chair will dismiss the case, except if the chair or the panel allows a brief extension, if needed to reschedule or complete a hearing. However, in grievances filed under Chapter 6, the hearing will be held within 30 days, unless in accordance with government regulations the chair authorizes an extension of time for good cause and with written notice to all parties of the delay and the reason for the delay.

7-C (2)(b) Selecting Panel Members and Chair

When the date for the meeting is scheduled, the chair shall, within five business days, generate a list of six names for members of the panel and a list of three names for the chair who will be available to serve on the grievance panel. A chair shall either be a person holding a law degree or a person with prior service on a grievance panel. The grievant and the respondent may each strike two names from the list of panel members and one name from the list of possible chairs. If after the exercise of these peremptory challenges more names remain than needed for a grievance panel of two members and one chair, the members and chair shall be chosen randomly. If additional names remain, they shall be designated as alternates, in a sequence designed randomly, to serve in the event that the initially chosen members become unavailable. The chair shall inform the parties of the panel as soon as its selection is completed.

7-C (2)(c) Delays and Abandonment
If the two sides are unable to agree on scheduling or rescheduling a hearing or on other procedural matters, or if the panel requests assistance, the chair of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals may make appropriate procedural decisions. If either party does not cooperate in moving the case forward, the chair shall: (a) if the administrator who is a party has not cooperated, report that fact to the President of the University and request appropriate disciplinary action, or (b) if the grievant has not cooperated, dismiss the grievance.

7-C (3)    Motion to Dismiss

Within 10 calendar days of notification of the identity of the grievance panel, the respondent may make a motion to dismiss a grievance for either of two circumstances, (1) lack of jurisdiction because the grievant was not a faculty member at the time the grievance arose and is thus not eligible for this process, or (2) failure to allege a violation of a right as a faculty member protected by law, or established University policies including those contained in the Faculty Handbook, or the faculty member's contract. The grievant shall have 10 calendar days to respond to a motion to dismiss the grievance.

In deciding whether to grant a motion to dismiss, the grievance panel shall accept all of the grievant's allegations as true; the grievance panel shall not examine or evaluate the evidence as to the merits of the grievance in ruling upon a motion to dismiss. The grievance panel may dismiss the grievance only under two circumstances: (1) if the grievant was not a faculty member at the time the facts giving rise to the grievance occurred, or (2) the grievance does not allege a violation of a right protected by law, or established University policies including those contained in the Faculty Handbook, or the faculty member's contract.

The chair of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals has no authority to dismiss grievances under any circumstances, unless the grievance was not timely filed, see Section 6-G of Chapter 6 and Section 7-B (2), or was abandoned, see Section 7-C (2).

7-C (4)    Grievance Hearing

If the grievance is not dismissed or abandoned, a grievance hearing shall be scheduled (see Section 7-C (2)) and conducted.

Either party may be represented by legal counsel at the grievance hearing (i.e., by a person who holds a law degree). If either side intends to be represented by legal counsel, it shall notify the other party of this intention at least 10 calendar days prior to the hearing. Failure to notify will entitle the other party to a reasonable continuance to secure its own legal counsel.
The grievant and the respondent, and their legal counsel if any, shall have the opportunity to be present during all argument and presentation of evidence.

Each party shall have the opportunity to present its evidence, including witnesses, and to make an argument to the grievance panel. Each party shall have the right to confront and question the witnesses of the other. Each party shall have the right to inspect and respond to all written and documentary evidence offered. Technical rules of evidence are not applicable.

As provided in section 6-A (9) (b) of chapter 6, in Title IX cases both the respondent and the complainant in the original grievance will be provided an equal opportunity to participate in all aspects of the process.

The chair of the grievance panel shall be responsible for presiding over the hearing and shall rule on all evidentiary questions. The chair shall set the order of argument and of presentation of evidence and may exclude irrelevant or unduly repetitious evidence or argument.

The grievant shall be given an opportunity to obtain necessary pertinent witnesses and documentary or other evidence. The University shall use its persuasive power and the Hearing Board its good offices to help the grievant obtain necessary pertinent evidence or witnesses, but the University has no obligation to incur undue expense for this purpose. If there is a request for material which involved privacy rights of an individual or is confidential or legally privileged, the committee chair or panel may request the Provost to rule on whether and with what restrictions the material can be made available.

Grievance panels will be instructed that their decisions must be in accord with all relevant federal, state, and local law, and established University policies including those contained in the Faculty Handbook, and the faculty member's contract. The grievance panel will be instructed to decide only the grievance before them, that is, the grievance panel should hear only evidence that is relevant to the grievance and shall decide only the issues presented as to supposed infringements of the grievant's rights, and the panel's report will deal only with those issues.

No member of the grievance panel shall disclose any of the evidence received during the grievance hearing nor any aspect of the deliberations, except as provided below.

A full stenographic or tape record of the hearing shall be maintained and made available upon request only to the parties, the grievance panel, or the President of the University. The stenographic or tape record shall be given to the Academic Senate office, where it shall be kept for at least a year, after which it can be destroyed or erased unless either party or the University requests that it be kept for a longer period of time. Copies of the stenographic or tape record shall be made for either party at its request, and if the grievant is the requesting party, upon the payment of the expenses of copying. In addition, with a person
appointed by the Academic Senate office present, either party may, at any time before a stenographic or tape record is destroyed, read or listen to the original, at a time and place set by the Academic Senate office.

A grievance process will not be terminated if a faculty member files a lawsuit in court over the same matter that is the subject of the grievance.

7-C (5) Burden of Persuasion

In grievance cases, the burden of persuading the Hearing Board that the actions or inactions of the University were not proper rests upon the grievant, and shall be satisfied only by clear and convincing evidence, except in cases where government regulations, such as those under Title VII and Title IX require the standard of the preponderance of the evidence.

7-D DECISION OF THE GRIEVANCE PANEL

At the conclusion of the hearing, as promptly as is consistent with due deliberation, the grievance panel shall make its decision. The findings of fact and decision of the grievance panel shall be based solely on the hearing record. The recommendations shall be in writing, shall state the basis for the decision and shall recommend any proposed remedial action. The panel shall provide its written decision within ten business days after the completion of the grievance hearing. The written decision shall be provided to each party and to the chair of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals. Each party shall have two weeks from receipt of the decision to append an additional statement to the decision.

In considering grievances related to reappointment, promotion, or tenure, or actions under Chapter 6, the grievance panel shall not substitute its judgment on the substantive merits of the decision, including the requirements of the academic unit or Title IX or other laws or of a candidate's professional qualifications, for that of the appropriate faculty body or bodies and administrators. If the grievance panel concludes that the grievant's claim of a violation of rights is proven, it will recommend reconsideration by the appropriate faculty body or bodies and administrators, indicating respects in which it believes the review process was inadequate. If a grievance panel concludes that there was an unfair evaluation because of considerations violative of academic freedom or prejudice, it will recommend reconsideration by a new faculty body and by administrators, indicating the respects in which it considers unfair judgments to have taken place.

All grievance panel decisions are recommendations to the President of the University. After allowing the parties two weeks to append additional statements, as described above, the chair of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals shall forward the panel's decision and the appended statements, if any, to the Provost, the President of the University, and both
parties. The President shall receive any evidence presented and the stenographic or tape record of the proceedings.

7-E DECISION OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY

The President will consider the record and make a decision as promptly as possible, generally within 30 calendar days of the President receiving the grievance panel's recommendation. The President shall retain ultimate decision-making authority as to all grievances and the discretion to accept or reject grievance panel recommendations, except those where there is mutually agreed upon binding arbitration, as discussed above.

If the President intends to not follow the panel's recommendation, the President shall notify the panel of this in person or in writing and shall state the reasons for intending to not follow this recommendation. The President shall provide an opportunity for response by the grievance panel before reaching the final decision. If the President finally decides not to accept the recommendations of the grievance panel, he or she shall state in writing, as part of his or her final decision, his or her reasons for rejecting them and for rendering a different decision.

The final report of the grievance panel and the President's final decision on the grievance shall be made known to the grievant, the respondent, the Provost, the Academic Senate President, the Chair of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals, and the members of the grievance panel. The Academic Senate President, upon receipt of written consent from the grievant, will forward to the Chair of the Senate Committee on Faculty Rights and Responsibilities a copy of the decision and a copy of the report of the grievance panel. This Senate Committee shall have the right to refer these reports to the Academic Senate, provided that the names of persons mentioned therein are deleted. In appropriate circumstances, where the privacy of students and other faculty members or administrators would be compromised by disclosure, the President of the University or the chair of the grievance panel may ask that all parties keep the report confidential.
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8-A  SCOPE

This section applies to the dismissal for cause of a tenured faculty member, and to the dismissal for cause of any other faculty member before the expiration of his or her contract. Dismissal for cause should not be confused with non-reappointment or termination, as discussed in Sections 3-E (1), 4-F (3), or 4-G of Chapters 3 and 4.

8-B  REDUCTION IN SALARY FOR CAUSE

Reductions in University core salary (see Section 3-D (2) of Chapter 3) of a tenured continuing full-time active faculty member or untenured faculty member during the term of an existing annual appointment or a contract may be made under this Section, not to exceed 10% in any one year, by the Provost after consultation with a faculty committee, for adequate cause (i.e., a ground listed in the first sentence of Section 8-C, whether or not circumstances in the second sentence of Section 8-C apply). A faculty member may be relieved of duties by the Provost for a period proportional to the pay reduction. The faculty committee will be constituted by the dean and shall include one or more members of the Faculty Council and also a member drawn from either the Senate Committee on Faculty Rights and Responsibilities or the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals. In each case the reduction under this Section will be subject to annual review, and any reduction under this Section in a subsequent year requires continued or new adequate cause and additional consultation with a faculty committee, as provided in this paragraph.

8-C  ADEQUATE GROUNDS FOR DISMISSAL

Adequate cause for a dismissal shall be one or more of the following: neglect of duty, incompetence, violations of academic freedom, misconduct, dishonesty, unmanaged or unreported conflict of interest, or moral turpitude. No offense will be considered adequate cause for dismissal unless it is serious and either (a) relates directly and substantially to the fitness of the faculty member in his or her professional capacity as a teacher, researcher, or practitioner performing clinical services, or (b) is of such a nature that it would bring severe injury or discredit to the University. Dismissal shall not be used to restrain faculty members in their exercise of academic freedom or other rights of American citizens.

8-D  DISMISSAL PROCEDURES

Every action to dismiss a faculty member must follow these carefully detailed procedures, with the full cognizance of the affected person, the Provost, and the President of the Faculty. The full set of faculty rights enumerated herein must be observed.

Procedures pertaining to allegations of sexual harassment, including hearings held by the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals, are specified in
Chapter 6, Providing a Safe Educational and Work Environment. For sexual harassment complaints, the procedures set forth in Sections 6-F and 6-G of Chapter 6 supplant Steps 1-3 of Section 8-D (1) and modify Steps 4 and 5 of Section 8-D (2).

Procedures pertaining to allegations of scientific misconduct include inquiry and investigation stages according to the University Policy on Scientific Misconduct, https://policy.usc.edu/scientific-misconduct/, as jointly formulated in consultation with an appropriate faculty committee and in accord with the applicable Federal regulatory requirements. For scientific misconduct allegations, this policy supplants Steps 1-3 of Section 8-D (1); any subsequent consideration of dismissal for scientific misconduct requires the formal proceedings described in Steps 4 and 5 of Section 8-D (2).

When an inquiry is conducted under the direction of a Threat Assessment Panel into allegations raised under the University Policy on Violence in the Workplace, as jointly formulated in consultation with an appropriate faculty committee and in accord with the applicable legal requirements, the dean may elect to accept the Panel’s report in lieu of the Step 1 process under Section 8-D (1). Any subsequent consideration of dismissal requires the proceedings described in Steps 2-5. The Provost may elect to request the President of the Faculty to appoint three faculty members to the Panel, and designate its chair, from the members of the Threat Assessment Team, and the relevant dean may elect to provide a preliminary statement of charges and a statement of evidence to the faculty member according to the provisions of Step 1; if both of these are done, the Panel is regarded as an ad hoc committee for the purpose of Step 3, paragraph 2.

Dismissal actions for all other causes require the preliminary inquiry described in Steps 1-3 of Section 8-D (1) and the formal proceedings described in Steps 4 and 5 of Section 8-D (2).

8-D (1) Preliminary Inquiry

Step 1: (a) When reason arises to consider whether cause exists to dismiss a faculty member who has tenure or whose term of appointment or contract has not expired, the relevant dean or academic director shall invite the faculty member to meet with him or her in a personal conference to discuss the problem, its possible resolution, and the possibility of dismissal proceedings if it is not resolved. The dean’s consideration of recommending dismissal for cause shall be based on a thorough inquiry into the circumstances.

(b) Before making a recommendation to the Provost, the dean shall consult with an ad hoc faculty committee, whose existence will be immediately disclosed to the faculty member concerned, to invite its views whether sufficient grounds exist for the dean to forward the matter to the Provost. The ad hoc committee, which the dean shall constitute, shall include one or more members of the Faculty Council and also a member drawn from either the Senate Committee on
Faculty Rights and Responsibilities or the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals and the dean shall ask the Provost to notify the President of the Faculty as to the appointment and composition of the ad hoc committee. If the faculty member holds a research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, and clinical-track appointment, at least one member of the ad hoc committee shall be chosen from among the research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, and clinical-track faculty, at rank of Associate Professor or higher.

Alternatively, the dean may elect to ask that the Provost request the President of the Faculty to appoint a three-person ad hoc committee from a list of six members of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals nominated by the chair of that committee. If the faculty member holds a research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, and clinical-track appointment, at least one member of the ad hoc committee shall be chosen from among the research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track members of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals, and the chair of that committee shall nominate at least two such research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, and clinical-track faculty. The ad hoc committee's recommendations are not binding on the dean.

(c) The chair of the Senate Committee on Faculty Rights and Responsibilities is available for consultation, and the Faculty Mediation Officer is available for mediation, but mediation is not mandatory.

(d) During Step 1, the dean may elect to formulate in writing a preliminary statement of charges with reasonable particularity of the grounds pertinent to the dismissal action under consideration. If the dean does so, a copy of the preliminary statement shall be given to the faculty member for the faculty member's written comments. The dean may also elect to provide evidence in writing to the faculty member and invite comment on that as well, and if the dean does so the faculty member shall be allowed 18 calendar days from the date on which the charges were sent to him or her to provide in writing his or her comments on the evidence to the dean. If the dean receives such comments on the preliminary statement, the evidence or both, and has not yet consulted with a faculty committee as provided above, the dean will share the comments with the committee as part of the consultation.

(e) If the dean believes there is probable cause for dismissal, the dean shall forward his or her recommendation to the Provost, together with any preliminary statement or evidence which has been shared with the faculty member, and comments submitted by the faculty member, and shall inform the Provost whether the views of the ad hoc committee were that sufficient grounds do or do not exist to forward the matter to the Provost. The Provost will inform the President of the Faculty of the dean's recommendation.

Step 2: If the Provost then believes there may be cause for a dismissal, he or she shall formulate a preliminary statement of charges with reasonable particularity of the grounds pertinent to the dismissal action under
consideration. A copy of the charges and grounds shall be given to the faculty member for the faculty member's comment to the Provost, unless the Provost adopts the preliminary statement formulated by the dean as part of Step 1 on which the faculty member has already had the opportunity to comment, in which case the Provost will refer to any comments the faculty member submitted at Step 1.

**Step 3:** If the Provost is satisfied there is good cause to proceed further, he or she shall request a review of the evidence by a three-person select committee appointed by the President of the Faculty from a list of six members of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals nominated by the chair of that committee. The select committee shall review the evidence to determine whether, in its view, sufficient grounds exist to initiate formal dismissal proceedings. The faculty member shall be allowed 18 calendar days from the date on which the evidence is sent to him or her in which to review all the evidence upon which the committee will rely in making its recommendation and to present a response to the committee, unless the preliminary statement of charges and the evidence presented to the committee are in all respects identical to those the dean elected to provide to the faculty member in Step 1 and the faculty member was afforded an opportunity to review and comment on them during that step, in which case the committee will refer to any comments the faculty member submitted at Step 1. The committee shall convey its recommendations to the Provost within 45 days of its appointment. If, for any reason, it proves necessary for this time to be extended, the committee shall request an extension from the Provost, who shall notify the faculty member and others involved of any approved extension. The committee's recommendations are not binding on the Provost.

However, if an ad hoc committee has already been appointed by the President of the Faculty in Step 1; if the preliminary statement of the charges and the evidence which would be presented to the committee are in all respects identical to those the dean elected to provide to the faculty member during Step 1; if the faculty member was afforded an opportunity to review and comment on the charges and evidence during that step; and if the dean shared with the ad hoc committee any comments he or she received from the faculty member on the preliminary statement, the evidence, or both; then the Provost may refer to the recommendations by the ad hoc committee and any comments by the faculty member, made in Step 1, instead of requesting an additional review as provided in the prior paragraph.

**8-D (2) Formal Proceedings**

**Step 4:** If the Provost determines that formal proceedings for dismissal should commence, such proceedings shall begin by conveyance of written notice from the Provost to the faculty member by certified mail or by other appropriate means. This notice shall contain the formal statement of charges framed with reasonable particularity, and state the University's intent to initiate a dismissal hearing. The faculty member, in this letter, shall be given the option of
resigning in lieu of a dismissal hearing. A copy of this letter will be sent to the chair of the Senate Committee on Faculty Rights and Responsibilities.

Step 5: If the faculty member has not resigned and the chair of the Senate Committee on Faculty Rights and Responsibilities and the Faculty Mediation Officer have not, through mediation, effected a mutual settlement, it shall be assumed that the faculty member contests the statement of charges. The Provost shall ask the chair of the Committee on Faculty Tenure and Privileges Appeals to convene a Hearing Board to recommend whether the proposed dismissal should occur. The hearing shall be convened no earlier than 33 calendar days after the date on which written notice was sent to the faculty member by means specified in Step 4. Normal procedures as set out in Chapter 7 shall then be followed: for scheduling and conduct of the hearing, including participation by the faculty member; for formulation of findings, reasons, and recommendations of the Hearing Board; and for final disposition of the case by the President. These normal procedures specified for use in faculty grievances are incorporated herein as required procedures in dismissal cases, except that there is no option for binding arbitration in cases involving dismissal for cause. In cases of dismissal or demotion from a tenured position or of dismissal prior to the end of a contract, the burden of persuading the Hearing Board that adequate cause for dismissal exists rests upon the University, and is as defined in Section 7-C (5) of Chapter 7.

8-D (2)(a) Constituting the Hearing Board

The Hearing Board consists of a chair and two members, selected from among the members of the committee who will be available to serve. The chair shall inform the parties of the composition of the Hearing Board as soon as its selection is completed.

In cases under this Chapter of dismissal of a tenured faculty member or a tenure-track faculty member prior to the end of a contract, the normal procedures as set out in Chapter 7 for constituting a grievance panel shall be used to select the chair and two members of the Hearing Board.

In cases under this Chapter of dismissal of a research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, and clinical-track faculty member prior to the end of a contract, the normal procedure as set out in Chapter 7 shall be used to select the chair of the Hearing Board, but the Hearing Board shall have one tenured and one research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, or clinical-track faculty member and the following procedures shall be used to select them. When the date for the meeting is scheduled, the chair shall, within five business days, generate a list of three names of tenured faculty and a list of three names of research-track, teaching-track, practitioner-track, and clinical-track faculty. The University and the faculty member may each strike one name from each list. If after the exercise of these peremptory challenges more names remain than needed for the Hearing Board, the members shall be chosen randomly. Those
not chosen shall be designated as alternates, in a sequence designed randomly, to serve in the event that the initially chosen persons become unavailable.

8-D (3) Suspension of a Faculty Member

A faculty member may be suspended from his or her duties, without loss of pay and usual faculty privileges, only as part of the initiation of a dismissal action and only if, in the judgment of the Provost, immediate harm to the faculty member or others is threatened by his or her continuance.

8-D (3)(a) Limitation on Suspensions

In no event shall suspension be used as penalty, an alternative to dismissal, or outside normal dismissal procedures, except as provided for sexual harassment sanctions under Chapter 6.

8-D (3)(b) Temporary Separation

The Provost may temporarily separate a faculty member from his or her duties even before an ad hoc committee is appointed as specified in Step 1 or a sexual harassment investigation is initiated under Chapter 6, without loss of pay and usual faculty privileges, if it is the Provost’s judgment that immediate harm to the faculty member or others is threatened by his or her continuance and that extraordinary circumstances warrant the action. The Provost may also use the term “administrative leave” in announcing a temporary separation.

In the case of temporary separation from duties, within fourteen days either an ad hoc committee will be appointed, or a sexual harassment investigation will be initiated, or a voluntary agreement will be reached between the individual and the University. The faculty member retains the right to file a grievance seeking an end to the temporary separation. During the separation period, the faculty member may consult with members of the University community, except those persons or categories of persons with whom the Provost expressly prohibits or restricts contact. The University shall make reasonable efforts to protect the continuity of the academic work of students, faculty and staff who are adversely affected. The Provost shall consult with the President of the Faculty and the dean of the relevant school during the temporary separation and indicate the reasons underlying any prohibited or restricted contact between the faculty member and other members of the University community.

8-D (3)(c) Protections in Connection with Dismissal Proceedings

A faculty member may not be dismissed nor, except as specified in Section 8-D (4), may his or her compensation be cut off or withheld before the dismissal procedure has been completed and a final decision has been made by the President.
8-D (4)  Abandonment of Duty

If the Dean, on the advice of the faculty committee consulted in Step 1 of the dismissal procedures, determines that the faculty member has abandoned his or her duties, e.g., by failing to return from vacation or leave, or by a pattern of failure to teach scheduled classes, or by a pattern of failure to meet established clinical, research, or other responsibilities, then compensation may be withheld for the period the duties are not being performed. If the faculty member returns to his or her duties at any time in the process, compensation shall resume immediately.

The faculty member may appeal such a determination of abandonment of duty to the Provost. If this determination is reversed at any step in the procedures, compensation that has been withheld shall be paid in full, at once, with interest at the statutory rate.

If the conclusion of the dismissal procedures results in severing the ties between the faculty member and the University, and if the finding of abandonment of duty is not reversed, then the faculty member is not entitled to receive compensation that had been withheld.

8-D (5)  Demotion of a Faculty Member

Demotion is a reduction in rank, e.g., from professor to associate professor, with a corresponding reduction in salary. It does not involve loss of tenure.

8-D (6)  Terminal Notice

If the appointment is to be terminated, the faculty member shall receive reasonable notice or salary in lieu of notice. The President in determining the length of terminal notice or salary in lieu of notice shall take into account the length and quality of service and the character of the grounds upon which the action was based.
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9 ACADEMIC AND FAMILY LIFE BALANCE

The University seeks to assist faculty in balancing their academic commitments and family life. In particular, it is in the interest of both the University and society as a whole that the demands of childbearing and childrearing not discourage talented women and men from pursuing academic careers.

9-AA GENERAL PROVISIONS

(1) When this Chapter mentions a “child” that means a biological or adopted child of the faculty member (or of the faculty member’s spouse or partner) who lives with the faculty member and is under six years of age. When this Chapter mentions an accommodation that is available for “child or children,” it is available once no matter how many children.

(2) When this Chapter mentions the “primary caregiver” of a child, that means the parent who has the greater childcare responsibility, if such responsibility interferes substantially with academic responsibilities, and the child is not cared for more than half-time by a spouse, partner or childcare provider, and the parent is the sole caregiver for the newborn or newly-adopted child for half-time during the work week.

(3) When this Chapter mentions “faculty” that includes all benefits-eligible faculty, except where a provision specifically refers to probationary faculty.

(4) When this Chapter mentions “partner” that means Registered Domestic Partner as defined in the Benefits policies, http://benefits.usc.edu.

(5) Requests under this Chapter are submitted by the faculty member for the Provost’s decision, and the department chair and dean will have opportunity to comment. When this Chapter uses language like “may request,” or “may approve,” it indicates a possible accommodation that the Provost will consider after receiving the considered comments of the department chair and dean.

(6) When this Chapter mentions that the individual is “entitled,” the right is provided automatically upon proper notification by the individual to the Provost, as long as the notification is accompanied by documentation satisfactory to the Provost.

9-A PAID PARENTAL LEAVE

A full-time faculty member is entitled to receive a ten-week paid parental leave in connection with the birth or adoption of a child, if the faculty member is the primary caregiver of the child (or will be, immediately following the birth). When this ten-week paid parental leave is taken within a single semester, the faculty member will have no classroom teaching assignments during that entire semester. For the timing of the leave, coordination with other leaves and benefits, and other conditions, see Section 9-G, below.
9-B FAMILY RESPONSIBILITIES

To accommodate family responsibilities, including caring for a child or an aged or ill relative, the Provost may approve

(1) part-time leave, with reduced duties at proportionate compensation, up to two years.

(2) unpaid leave of absence, up to one year, to run concurrently with any leave provided by law.

(3) modified responsibilities, up to two years, where the faculty member is given special consideration as to assignments of substantial service, large classes, night classes, and new course preparations.

See also Section 9-G (1).

9-C CHILDCARE RESPONSIBILITIES OF PROBATIONARY FACULTY

To accommodate the special responsibilities of the probationary period with the demands of childrearing, a probationary faculty member who is the primary caregiver of one or more children,

(1) shall be entitled to a half-time leave, with half-time duties at half pay, up to a maximum of two years.

(2) may request, as an alternative to part-time leave, a reduced teaching and service load for up to a maximum of two years (so that there is a total two course reduction or equivalent over that period, including application of Section 9-A), without reduction in pay, subject to the approval of the Provost.

(3) as another alternative to part-time leave or a reduced teaching and service load, may request a limited period of assistance such as provision of a laboratory technician or teaching assistant, subject to the approval of the Provost.

See also Sections 9-AA and 9-G (1).

9-D LENGTH OF PROBATIONARY PERIOD

(1) If a probationary faculty member gives birth prior to 12 months before the Tenure Decision Date, upon request she will automatically be granted an exclusion of one year from the probationary period, but she need not take advantage of the exclusion and may be considered for tenure at the usual time, as provided in Section 9-D (6). If she also qualifies under Section 9-D (2) she is entitled to an exclusion of two years from the probationary period,
A probationary faculty member who is the primary caregiver of one or more children in the period prior to 12 months before the Tenure Decision Date will, upon request, automatically be granted an exclusion of one year from the probationary period.

After advice of the Committee on Probationary Deadlines, the Provost may approve up to one year exclusion from the probationary period of a probationary faculty member to accommodate unusual circumstances beyond those mentioned in paragraphs (1) and (2) that significantly reduce the person’s ability to make progress on scholarly work, according to the usual standards applied by the committee.

A faculty member’s leave under this Chapter, if it is at least one-year or half-time for two years, shall entitle the faculty member to an exclusion of one year from the probationary period.

If the Provost approves exclusions under more than one of the preceding subsections, two years will be excluded from the probationary period. Absent special approval by the Provost for extraordinary reasons, however, there shall not be more than a total of two years exclusion from the probationary period or extension of the Tenure Decision Date, or both, for any reason or combination of reasons under this Section or other policies.

A faculty member for whom there has been an exclusion from the probationary period will nevertheless be entitled, if he or she wishes, to be considered for tenure as if there has not been an exclusion.

See also Section 9-AA.

**9-E EXPECTATIONS CONCERNING SCHOLARLY PRODUCTIVITY**

A faculty member’s taking of a paid parental leave or an exclusion from the probationary period as provided in this Chapter will not raise the expectations for scholarly productivity on account of the leave or exclusion. This standard shall be applied at the department, school and University level and, at the candidate’s request, will be explained in requests to external referees.

**9-F PHASED RETIREMENT**

See Section 10-B.

**9-G COORDINATION AND CONDITIONS OF PAID PARENTAL LEAVE**

Leave and benefit provisions under this Chapter are to be fully coordinated with each other and other leave and benefit provisions, so as to avoid duplication. No more than one of the accommodations mentioned in Section 9-C is provided for caregiving for a child or children. It would be
unusual to approve an accommodation based on caregiving for a child or children under Section 9-B in addition to an accommodation under Sections 9-C.

(2) Accordingly, paid parental leave will run concurrently with any leave provided by law in connection with the birth or adoption of a child. Similarly, when a faculty member is eligible to receive maternity-related disability benefits or paid family leave benefits while on leave connected with the birth or adoption of a child, the paid parental leave will run concurrently and supplement the benefits that the individual would be entitled to receive, so as to equal full pay for up to ten weeks.

(3) A faculty member’s commencement of any leave or benefit with which paid parental leave is to be coordinated under this Chapter, whether before or after the birth, will be deemed a notification that the member is exercising his or her entitlement to paid parental leave, subject to appropriate documentation satisfactory to the Provost. If a faculty member has commenced paid parental leave but does not exhaust his or her entitlement to ten weeks, subsection (4) applies to the remainder of the entitlement.

(4) The remaining paid parental leave shall be scheduled as mutually agreed between the faculty member and his or her Dean, in situations where the faculty member is not eligible for, or has exhausted, maternity-related disability benefits, leave provided by law, and paid family leave benefits, but has not exhausted his or her entitlement to ten weeks paid parental leave under this policy.

- The Dean’s agreement on the scheduling of the parental leave under this subsection (4) will be based on the academic needs of the School.
- In all cases the paid parental leave shall be concluded within one year of the birth or adoption of the child.
- Paid parental leave is not convertible to a cash benefit under any circumstance.

(5) If a second parent is a University faculty member who qualifies as the primary caregiver at some point within the first year after the child’s birth or adoption, the second parent to qualify is also entitled to a ten-week paid parental leave, subject to the conditions and coordination stated above, but does not have an entitlement under other provisions of this Chapter.
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Chapter 10

Transition from Full-Time Work
10-A EMERITI STATUS

The designation Emeritus or Emerita may be awarded to full-time faculty on or after retirement, or may be preapproved in contemplation of retirement to be effective upon retirement. Faculty who are not retiring from their academic careers but who leave the University to take a position at another institution are not eligible for the designation except in extraordinary circumstances. In preparing recommendations, the department or other appropriate academic unit will review the eligibility of all prospective retirees. The award of the designation is not automatic. It indicates honorable retirement from assigned duties, recognizes faithful service worthy of high commendation, and expresses an anticipation of continued membership in the academic community. The emeritus or emerita designation carries with it the honor of the title and the use of University facilities as authorized by the Provost.

The President may approve the title to be added to the last academic title a faculty member held in active service (e.g., professor emeritus or emerita). The President will consider the recommendation of the department or other appropriate academic unit and the dean, the individual's curriculum vitae, and a summary of the individual's contributions. The President may also approve the designation for a title of honor or administrative title (e.g., named chairs emeriti, Distinguished Professors Emeriti, Deans Emeriti).

10-B PHASED RETIREMENT

Full-time faculty who have submitted their retirement shall be entitled to receive a transitional part-time leave, with half-time (or greater) duties at proportionate compensation, for up to two years (or such longer period as the Provost decides). Such a leave is not available beyond the effective date of termination or non-reappointment under other policies, and use of such a leave requires Provost's approval if formal discipline is pending or under investigation under Chapters 6 or 8.

At the individual's option, the relinquishment of tenure may take effect either at the beginning or the end of the transition period; in either case, the individual will use his or her normal academic title during the transition period. Subsequent to the transition period, the faculty member may also be invited to serve part-time after retirement, as individually agreed, as provided below in Section 10-C.

10-C CONTINUED POST-RETIREMENT ACADEMIC ACTIVITY

A retired faculty member is eligible to be invited to serve on a year-to-year or other fixed term basis (without tenure) for whatever services, compensation, and percentage of effort are agreed between the individual and the dean with the approval of the Provost. Unless the Provost gives special permission, the percentage of effort must be less than 50% and thus under current policies the individual is not eligible for benefits. As agreed between the individual and the
dean with the approval of the Provost, the retired faculty member (whether with or without compensation) may be authorized to continue to be principal investigator of grants and contracts, have research space as allocated, direct graduate students, and continue active participation in departmental and university activities as agreed. On the request of the department and dean, the Provost may reinstate the tenured status of the previously-tenured individual only for the period invited back to service, not to continue when that period ends. The Provost may also appoint a previously tenured individual to roles dealing with tenure matters.

10-D RETIREMENT BENEFITS

10-D (1) Income Replacement

Information on the University retirement plan is available at the Benefits Administration web site, http://benefits.usc.edu.

10-D (2) Health Care after Retirement

For information on USC Senior Care, call the Health Plans office.

10-D (3) Long Term Care after Retirement

For information on Long Term Care insurance, contact the USC Benefits Administration office.

10-D (4) Other Benefits, Privileges and Guides

Information on more USC benefits for retirees is at https://employees.usc.edu/retirement/ and a directory of retiree privileges ("Gold Card") is at https://emeriti.usc.edu/planning/retiree-privileges/. A guide to those considering retirement is on the Faculty Portal at http://faculty.usc.edu/benefits/retirement/.

10-D (5) Changes in Benefits Programs

As provided in Section 3-F of Chapter 3, the particular provisions of all benefit programs, including those listed above, are subject to change from time to time by the Senior Vice President, Administration.